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## PREFACE

The purpose of this $3^{\text {rd }}$ Volume in the Orange Free State Philately Series of the Philatelic Society for Greater Southern Africa is to portray the present state of our knowledge of the subjects not covered in Volumes 1 and 2, that is, Postage Stamps and Telegraphs. More precisely, it covers Revenues, Frankings, Postal Stationery and Postal Orders.

We would like to thank the Philatelic Society for Greater Southern Africa for their efforts in co-publishing this book. The encouragement and guidance of the PSGSA Publication Committee was of great help, as well as their work on proofing, critiquing, publishing and distributing the work. Also a big vote of thanks is owed to David McNamee and others for peer review, critiquing, proofreading and suggesting improvements.

This book, as with all scholarly works, could not have come about if collectors everywhere did not publish their discoveries and findings for the edification of all. Material held tightly and unpublished does not advance the state of knowledge and is, of course, not reflected in this book. Thanks are due to all those who have published information pertaining to this field and to those of the philatelic societies that encourage and enable this sharing.

> For out of the old fieldes, as men saithe, Cometh al this new corne fro yere to yere;
> And out of old bookes, in good faithe, Cometh al this new science that men lere.

Geoffrey Chaucer, The Assembly of Fowles.
Every effort has been made to comply with copyright law. Information, discoveries, etc. are of course not covered by copyright, and fall into the public domain, notwithstanding the unjustified belief in some quarters that information is the property of the first journal that publishes it. Credit is given in the footnotes to specific significant items to indicate the source of the information.. Abbreviations for cited publications are given in Appendix A. The colors of the illustrations are as close as possible, but somewhat distorted for technical reasons. Unless otherwise noted, all philatelic color illustrations are from the collections of the authors.

To avoid confusion, as far as possible, we have tried to keep the various notations currently in common use, such as variety nomenclature and numbering of settings, even when the old nomenclature is actually somewhat misleading. In general, the notations are consistent with the Buckley and Marriott usage in the V.R.I. era. Often, however, change has been unavoidable to improve clarity in the light of new findings. For example, the stamps of the O.V.S. era have had to be rearranged and renumbered.

All opinions, errors, omissions are strictly the responsibility of the authors. In spite of all the help, errors and typos are certainly present. Notification of errata would be appreciated so that later printings can be improved.
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# Chapter 1, The Revenue Issues of the Republic 

## General

In the early days of the O.V.S., the scope of government was quite limited. One of its major functions was to record deeds and other legal documents. The expense of this was to be met by fees for these services. Ordinance No. 7 of 1856 decreed that various documents were only legal if stamp duty had been paid. Rates were laid down, and proof of payment was an embossed stamp of the appropriate value cancelled in manuscript by the official.

Further, a system for the supply of revenue stamps was established. A Stamp Keeper reported directly to the Treasurer-General and a Stamp Distributor (generally the Landdrost's clerk) was appointed to each Landdrost (local Magistrate) district. The embossed stamps were prepared in the office of the Treasurer-General under the supervision of a committee of three members appointed by the President.

## The Provisional Manuscript Seals

The law requiring revenue stamps on documents came into force on April 1, 1856, but the embossing dies and presses did not arrive until later, necessitating the interim use of handwritten 'seals'. ${ }^{1}$ These are the so-called 'Gezien' seals, after their usual wording of "Gezien voor zegel x shillings, initials" written on the document. Only the Stamp Keeper and the Stamp Distributors were originally authorized to do this. Sometimes this was done on small pieces of paper and later attached to the document.

These reappear at various times in case of emergency or shortages, often signed by a Landdrost, as in the illustration at right of an example on an 1860 document from Caledon River. "Gezien voor
 Zegel van 4/-", with initials of Landdrost.

Another form of manuscript stamp arose when a clerk was making a certified copy of a document and drew an illustration of the stamps on the document, as at right, but the copy should also bear an actual separate stamp for the clerk's fee ${ }^{2}$.
'Gezien' is an old Dutch legal term which signifies that
 the document has been taken note of by the authority and the proper fee paid, which makes the document legal.

[^0]
## The Locally Embossed Revenues

When the dies finally arrived from England, revenue stamps in various values were produced by hand on white and various colors of ungummed paper.

In the Bartshe collection is a series of impressions in ink of almost all of the Embossed Revenues. All but one of the illustrations of these embossed issues is from this source.

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Issue of Embossed Stamps

The $1^{\text {st }}$ Issue was comprised of 15 values. All the designs are similar, consisting of an arched ribbon on top with "Oranje Vry Staat", the words "Zegel/van/XX" in the middle, and a bottom wreath. They are very similar to those used in the Cape and Natal, and likely came from the same engraver at Somerset House. Eleven of the values are shown below.


These inked impressions "emanate from a volume of impressions and pulls from relief dies presented to the National Museum Library in Bloemfontein by the Government Printer in ca 1936. More than one such 'record' book was prepared although it is not known how many" ${ }^{1}$

Further information as to this matter is given by Alan Drysdall. "The collections of the National Museum Library in Bloemfontein include a volume entitled Afdrukke van reliefstempels van die Zuidafrikaansche Republiek en van de Oranje Vrystaat ook van de Krooncolonies van

[^1]Natal en Kaapland en van de Unie van Zuid Afrika." In other words, impressions of the embossing dies used in the Union and its predecessors. It was apparently produced in the teens or twenties, but donated to the Museum in 1936.

The impressions of this first issue are all only inked, with no albino imressions as was the case for later issues.

These stamps were hand embossed, sometimes on small pieces of paper; sometimes as strips of 5 and sometimes two at a time by folding the paper (see below). All were ungummed. The width of the top ribbon is approximately 22 mm . These stamps were made up as needed, using whatever paper was at hand, giving a total of at least ten different papers.


A summary of the various values, papers, and dates reported so far is given in Table 1.1, with all the papers then illustrated (per B\&M and authors'collections).
aldine naan maartyt en navar on hes te me ter as ge mack door onsonder petition farcatuirs op heder deb 27 elosem hor 1858 ter Pleats bluet rontyn camnetriour elustrell mun bīroy


This bloc of 10 of the 18d demonstrates the method of manufacture. A sheet of paper (apparently 8 " $\times 11.25$ ") was folded and torn into 3 strips. Sometimes it would then be folded and two stamps made at each squeeze of the embosser, as in the case of the 9 d orange on the previous page. The example shown here, however was imprinted one at a time, as it does not show mirror images.

| Table 1.1 $1^{\text {st }}$ Revenue Issue, Embossed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaper | Azures and Papers <br> laid | White <br> laid | White <br> wove | Yellow <br> wove | Dark <br> Blue <br> wove | Pale <br> Blue <br> green <br> wove | Dark <br> Green <br> wove | Deep <br> Rose <br> wove | Orange <br> wove | Salmon <br> wove |  |  |  |  |  |
| EKU | 6.6 .56 | 7.5 .59 | 1.8 .56 | 26.3 .57 | 29.5 .72 | 12.7 .57 | 8.7 .57 | 13.3 .64 | 19.1 .68 | 18.1 .68 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 d | Y | Y |  |  | Y |  |  |  | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 d | Y | Y |  |  |  |  | Y |  | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 /-$ | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 / 6 \mathrm{~d}$ | Y | Y |  | Y |  |  | Y | Y |  | Y |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 /-$ | Y | Y |  | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3 /-$ | Y | Y |  | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4 /-$ | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5 /-$ | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10 /-$ | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $£ 1$ | Y | Y | Y |  |  |  | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $£ 2$ | Y | Y | Y |  |  |  | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $£ 3$ | Y | Y |  |  | Y |  | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $£ 4$ | Y | Y |  |  |  |  | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $£ 5$ |  | Y |  |  |  |  | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $£ 10$ |  | Y |  |  |  |  | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Papers of the $1^{\text {st }}$ Embossed

The Papers: Azure laid, white laid, white, yellow, dark blue, pale bluegreen, dark green, deep rose, orange and salmon.



Bill to an estate for rounding up and shipping the livestock $£ 4-0-0$. 1/- yellow


A bill of sale for merchandise of $£ 6 / 7 /-$. A $1 /-$ pale blue-green.


Land Grant from 1871. Signed Pres. Brand, with the Great Seal of the OVS. £3 deep rose


Receipt for a purchase from the estate of a mr A.C. van Wyk for 18/-. 1/- pale blue-green.

1874. £1 deep rose (4), 4/- pale blue-green (2), and 2/- yellow, total £4/10/0.


Gd on white laid .
Fauresmith, July 3, 1860.
"For medical help and medicine from the first of January to the 10th of April 1860"
The ' $B$ ' indicates Betaald=paid.


Strip of 3 yellow 10/- stamps.

## 2nd Issue of Embossed Stamps, 1874-7

A more elaborate design of embossing seal was brought into use in 1874 or 1875, incorporating the Arms of the Republic in the middle. It was apparently in use concurrently with the First Issue.

## Essays/Proofs



Essays and die proofs for this issue are known, embossed in gold on stiff white paper, in three different designs, as shown above.

The first design, type 1, in four values, has an outline frame with, "Oranje Vry Staat" in the top ribbon, the value below, and the coat of arms with flags in the center. It is approximately $29 x 37 \mathrm{~mm}$. The 18 d and 4 sh are proofs of issued values, while the $5 /-$ and $7 /-$ are essays of values never put in service.

The second essay design, type 2 , is shown lower left. It has a circular frame with the name in the top ribbon, the value in the bottom ribbon, and the coat of arms with no flags in the
center. There is also a ribbon surrounding the coat of arms. It is approximately 29 mm in diameter. This essay was rejected and no stamps in this design issued.

The third essay design, Type 3 , was of a $1 /-$ value. It had a shield shaped outline, the name above and value below not in ribbons, and a flagless coat of arms in the center, between wreaths. It is approximately $30 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}$.

The second and third essay designs both have the word Oranje misspelled Orange, although the spelling is correct on the first design. They also have a hyphen between Orange and Vry.

## Issued Stamps, Type 1.



The first design was accepted and dies for 16 values were sent out to Bloemfontein in 1875, seven of which are illustrated above from the Impression Book from the Bloemfontein Museum. The other values are very similar.

Evidence has been recorded of only six issued values. The earliest known date is November 1, 1875 on a $1 /-$ value and were used into 1877 . They are illustrated below:

| 1/- Blue paper | 1/6d Green paper | 3/- Gray paper |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4/- Pale green paper | 10/- Emerald paper | $£ 1$ Rose paper |


$2^{\text {nd }}$ Issue, $1 /-$ blue

$2^{\text {nd }}$ Issue, $4 /$ - pale green

$2^{\text {nd }}$ Issue, $1 / 6 \mathrm{~d}$ green

$2^{\text {nd }}$ Issue, 10/- emerald

$2^{\text {nd }}$ Issue, $3 /-$ gray

$2^{\text {nd }}$ Issue, $£ 1$ rose

$2^{\text {nd }}$ Issue, Type $1,1 /-$ on blue paper
Apparently used as postage due, 2 x the missing 6 d stamp. Mailed at Bedford, CGH 2 July1876, assessed 1/- mark in red.

Arrived Bloemfontein Juy 15, 1876.
nk Leonge. In eqalmer wonende te s Soh oranje prif craat. verklaar onder eede, dat Nenre: 6 : de Mart. wiens boedel thans onder sequestratie is geplaatst, waarlijk en wettig aan $\ln \ddot{1}$
verschuldigd is de Som van
ェ wegens o/er reteenm hoegenaamd geene securiteit voor die schuld den insolventen boedel van ll. $?$ aan

Beëedigd voor mij te


Type 1. A statement of claim on an estate in probate.
Two 1/- blues.

## Type 2.

The third essay shield design (a first essay with Oranje spelled with a $\underline{G}$ and a later version with Oranje now spelled correctly, but with an incorrect hyphen added) was used for a $1 /-$ die that is known used on blue and deep rose papers. Earliest known usage is August 5, 1875 on rose paper and August 28, 1876 on blue paper. A 6d yellow of this die was once reported, but has not been confirmed.


Type 2. 1/- blue Registration fee, 28 August 1876 (A.R.Allison)

Type 3.


Dies for the ld, Sd and 6d values were purchased in a design entirely different from any of the essays (see proof strikes above from the Impression book). It is somewhat similar to the third essay, but is of a different shape, with flags, and without wreaths. The ld is only known impressed on checks known used as late as March 1900. The 3d has not been reported used.

Two Type 3, 6d impressions on yellow paper. (A.R. Allison)


1d Revenue Type 3 impressed on Check
EKU 12 August 1892.

## Chapter 2, The Definitive Issue, 1877-90



There was apparently dissatisfaction with the plain embossed stamps, and on August 29, 1876, the O.V.S. London agent, Blythe \& Co., asked ${ }^{1} \mathrm{De}$ La Rue to prepare dies to print a series of 19 values of revenue stamps corresponding to the samples, which were in essence the embossed $2^{\text {nd }}$ Issue type, but of varying sizes and shapes, all non-standard.


De La Rue replied that that was impractical and suggested using three designs in a standard size (the size of two postage stamps) with 3 key-plates, as shown in the essays above (dated 6 Sep 76, from De La Rue archives). They quoted a price of 6/6d per 1000 stamps (plus set up costs) as opposed to the normal stamps at (then) $1 /-$ per 1000. They strongly insisted upon receiving a careful drawing of the coat of arms, and exact copies of how the values were to be spelled out. On September 8, De La Rue, having received the drawing, submitted three new designs with the flags. In February, they returned the arms drawing, having finished the engraving. The plates (drawings?) were done in March (?). ${ }^{2}$ They were accepted, and the master die of the arms was completed on November 20(?). The three duty dies for the 6 d , shilling and pound values were finished and hardened on January 25-7. The three different designs were for the 6 d , the shilling values, and the pound values.

[^2]

The first printing was invoiced on May 18, 1877 and issued later that year. Die proofs reported on glazed card $92 \mathrm{~mm} \times 60 \mathrm{~mm}^{1,2}$ are shown in Table 2.1. The invoice dates and quantities are shown in Table $2.2^{3}$. AH=After Hardening, $\mathrm{BH}=$ before Hardening and $\mathrm{AS}=$ after Striking.

[^3]

This brass block shows the arms with flags as they appear on the revenue stamps, but also has a ribbon below with the name (Spink auction). It appears to have been made when designing the revenues.


Above is shown a proof of the 6 d master die, which shows an erroneous hyphen in the name. This and the following two master die proofs are on the usual glossy proof card stock, then mounted on and framed by thick brown card stock. Date unknown. Along side is a proof of the $6 d$ value die.


Above a proof of the shilling die and also a proof of each of the shilling value dies.


Above a proof of the pound die and also a proof of each of the pound value dies.


This printer's proof of the blank shilling value die is endorsed "Feb 6 ${ }^{\text {th }} 120$ leads". The plates were ready by 7 Mar. The May 18 date is the date of invoice of the first shipment and is unrelated to the proof. Note that it still has the hyphen in the name, as do all the other proofs of the dies.

On the $8^{\text {th }}$ of March 1877, a set of color proofs was made, as shown in the following. In all of these cases, there is no hyphen in the name. The value is generally blank, but is present in the 6 d , one shilling and one pound values. Oddly it shows both colors for the 6 d and $1 /$-, although the $2^{\text {nd }}$ colors of these were only issued in 1882 . Next are shown the issued stamps. The color differences from the issued stamps are due mainly to scanning from the Spink sale catalog which included the De La Rue Archives.





6d Purple-brown



1/6d Blue


4/- Bluish gray


7/- Violet


10/- Orange

£3 Slate


2/- Magenta


5/- Rose


8/- Yellow

$£ 1$ Purple

£4 Carmine


3/- Chestnut


6/- Green


9/- Olive-bistre

£2 Red-brown

£5 Green

All three master dies had an error, a hyphen between VRY and STAAT (See below). This was apparently not noticed until after the leads had been struck (per the Feb 6 proof after 120 leads). The hyphen was removed, either on the leads, or on the plate. This error was missed at one

position of the pound plate and has been found on the $£ 1$ (above), $£ 2, £ 4$ and $£ 5$ stamps, in the $5^{\text {th }}$ position in the top row and likely exists on the $£ 3$ value, but has not been reported.


A stamp with a double impression exists of the ZES PENCE on the 6d pearl gray, one inverted, ${ }^{1}$ shown above. There is one constant variety, the top of the $\underline{G}$ of SHILLING damaged on the $1 /-$ value, plate position unknown. All are line perforated 14 on the De La Rue perforator. Various color changelings are known due to the use of fugitive inks, especially a bright blue $£ 5$.

The catalogs have called this the issue of 1878 , but copies are known cancelled in November and December of 1877. The 6d gray and the $1 /$ - purple brown were put in use later in 1882.

Tamsen ${ }^{2}$ reported sales of revenue stamps for certain years. In $1879, £ 8,997$; in 1890, $£ 39,138$ and in 1891, $£ 43,621$.

## Postal Usage Of Revenue Stamps

A thorough examination ${ }^{3}$ has been made of all that is known to date regarding the question of the use of revenues in the postal system. Known covers put the use of revenues in the postal system beyond doubt. Most, but not all, are in connection with registered letters. Three possible explanations for postal cancels on revenue stamps are identified.

- Revenue stamps used for payment of postage (or registration).
- Revenue stamps used for payment of postage due.

[^4]- Revenue stamps used for receipts issued at the post office.

There is also no doubt that many of the high value revenues were used on packages of rough diamonds, mainly from the mines near Jagersfontein and Koffiefontein. These were shipped in heavy sealed metal containers. Many of these shipments would require postage of $£ 1$ or more, which would have required a large number of the lower value postage stamps.

Also reported is a unique cover, ${ }^{1}$ illustrated on p. 13, incoming from the Cape with a Cape 4d cancelled with a faint Cape BONC cancel and a 1/- embossed O.V.S. revenue cancelled with a Landdrost cancel. The date is July 1876. Since the letter did not have the needed O.V.S. 6d stamp, the postage due was $1 /-$. So some revenues used postally have a fiscal cancel!

Some 98 Revenue stamps have been identified with postal cancels, with values from 6d to $£ 5$. Numerical 16 bar cancels are known from Winburg (2), Harrismith (3), Fauresmith (4), Kroonstad (6), Rouxville (12) and Wepener (16). Numerical 11 bar cancels known from Ventersburg (21) and Heilbron (24), and most commonly the letter cancel "O" from Jagersfontein (the diamond fields).

Dubious or forged cancels are known 'from' Fauresmith ' 86 and Bloemfontein '02, '03.

## Specimens

## SPECIMEN.

In the South Africa Post Office archives are examples of O.V.S. revenues with a SPECIMEN overprint, ${ }^{2}$ shown above. The author states that included are 15 of the 17 values of the definitive revenues, a 3d Bank Wissel, and a 6d carmine telegraph stamp of the 1893 Setting. The definitives include 6 d pearl gray, the eighteen pence, 4 and 5 shilling, and 4 and 5 pound values, all of which are illustrated in the article.

The overprint is $3.5 \times 18 \mathrm{~mm}$, all caps, from a serif font, applied with a hand stamp, apparently of rubber, using black ink.

Interestingly, there are no postage stamps in these archives with any 'Specimen' overprints.

The author continues these "were apparently distributed through (the UPU) with 'specimen' overprints." No other reports of these stamps has been seen, which is most unlikely if the usual number of copies had been sent to the UPU, so some other explanation must be found.

[^5]The reported situation regarding Natal may shed some light. Bendon ${ }^{1}$ states that in 1887 the UPU sent Natal a more or less complete collection from its archives. Also found in the Natal collection are stamps which had not been normally distributed by the UPU. "All stamps which had been received in normal unused condition bear the Natal 'SPECIMEN' hand stamp type NA2 struck in violet. It appears that the Natal Post Office defaced the stamps at the time of the formation of the Union of South Africa."

Thus it seems that these are not UPU items at all.

## Document usages



A pass for 'Tom' to travel home to Natal from the diamond mines at Kimberly. Franked with a Griqualand West 1/- with inverted $\underline{G}$ overprint and an OVS 1/- definitive revenue. July 6, 1888.

[^6]
## ACTE VAN TRANSPORT, No. 4Yム\&

 UlT KRACHT EENER VOLMACHT.J HET AAN ALLEN KENNELIJK, dat $\qquad$
Cheadmalleord Agent
is verschenen bor mid,
in den Oranjevrijstaat, daartoe behoorlijk
18*


A Transfer deed of April 2, 1895.
Total fee for registration of the deed was $£ 1 / 8 /$-, comprised of a $£ 1$, a $5 /-$ and a $3 /-$ revenue stamp.
(75\% of actual size)


A license to sell wine and spirits in Dewetsdorp for 6 months, from 1 January to 30 June 1900. ( $75 \%$ of actual size)

Total fee of $£ 37 / 10 /-$, using seven $£ 5$, two $£ 1$ and one $10 /$ - stamps.

## CERTIFICAAT.

De Weesheer van den Oranjevrijstaat, certificeert bid dezen dat nit
de ter Weeskamer gedeponeerde documenter in den bedel van wijlen

blijkt, dat de erfportie van de minderjarige erfgencuma.
behoorlijk $8 \%$ ia verzekerd, zoodat er geene bezwaren bestaan tor de mage-
bleven echtgenoot
comma qacolia

om tot cen volgend huwelijk over te gain.


A certificate ( $75 \%$ size) from the Master of the Orphans Court stating that the inheritance of the minor children has been assured so that there is no impediment to the remarriage of the widow.
A 5/- stamp paid the fee.
The cancellation translates "Certificate for marriage of the widow of J. W. Deale, 30/1/86".

# Chapter 3, Provisional and Special Issues 

Sixpence Provisionals, 1886

$1^{\text {st }}$ Issue, The 6d Provisional Hand stamp



The first 6 d provisional was made by the use of a hand stamp surcharging the $8 /-$ value, as shown above in a worn example of Type 1. The surcharge reads ZES PENCE. in serif capitals over two canceling bars. This handstamp exists in two forms where one has a square stop after PENCE and the other has an oval stop and the bottom of the final $\underline{E}$ in PENCE slopes down from left to right. This second state is either a separate cliché or else a worn and damaged state of the handstamp.


Cliché type 1, square stop, straight serif


Cliché type 2, square stop, slanted serif $\underline{E}$

Cliché type 1
Vertical strip of 4, varying angles proves it was made by a single unit handstamp.


Piece showing use of $1^{\text {st }} 6 \mathrm{~d}$ fromWepener 8 March 1889.

$2^{\text {nd }}$ Issue, The 6d provisional overprint.
The handstamped provisional was immediately followed by a typeset overprint on the 4/value, probably done by Borckenhagen, using a heavier type with a stop and one canceling bar (see below). There are two variants in the forme. Variant 1 has tall thin letters and is 25 mm long, including the stop. Variant 2 has shorter thicker letters and measures 27 mm long. The majority seems to be Variant 1. Multiples we have seen show variants 1 and 2 se-tenant, but only vertically. A variety is known showing broken NCE.


2nd Issue Variant 1
25 mm width, square stop


2nd Issue Variant 2
27 mm width, oval stop


2nd Issue Variant 1
25 mm width, square stop


2nd Issue, Variant 2
27 mm width oval stop


This block of 3 from the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ columns proves that the two variants were in the same form. The top two stamps are both Variant 2 at 27 mm and the bottom stamp is Variant 1 at 25 mm .


One example of this 6 d on the $4 /$ - revenue that saw postal use. A single right marginal Variant 2 at 27 mm , but with worn stop, on a letter from Donkerpoort to Johannesburg. A late usage, in September 1897. Mailed Sep 1, 1897, two receiving marks at Johannesburg September 6.

A legitimate, though unusual use. The base stamp was a 'Postal-Fiscal' issue, so the overprint would have also have been acceptable for both uses even though overpaid.

## Hospital Tax Stamp

In 1880 (according to Buckley and Marriott) a 3d 'Hospital Tax’ stamp appeared. It was a rubber hand stamp on the 1/- purple brown postal/revenue. The surcharge consists of a fancy frame enclosing a three line Hospitaal Tax./3d./Betaald. It is in reddish purple, and very hard to see against the purple stamp color. The neighboring sketch is a fair approximation of its
 appearance. It is not an overprint but rather a special cancel.

The inscription says 'Betaald', which means 'Paid'. No examples have been found with a cancellation. The imprint is larger than the stamp ( $51 \mathrm{~mm} \times 31 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs. $41 \mathrm{~mm} \times 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), but only one stamp has been found with portions of two imprints, and all except this one are reasonably centered. It seems to have been hand applied to individual $1 /-$ stamps, which argues against it being an overprint. However, it is only found on the $1 /-$ value, which argues against it being a cancellation. Another mystery.

The most reasonable explanation to date is that it was a special 3d extra tax on some type of transaction which required a $1 /-$ stamp. The extra to go to the hospital.


It had a very short life, is quite uncommon and does exist inverted, which is very rare. No record or example exists to document its purpose, but it might have been a special tax to build or support a hospital, rather than a tax on hospital bills.

This example has had its colors distorted to provide better visibility. The handstamp is larger than the stamp, and the print is tilted. Both of these show that it was a handstamp.

## Bank Wissel (Bank Draft) Stamps

In 1882 , it was decided that all bank drafts would require a 3 d revenue payment, so a series of provisional revenue stamps were put in service over the next 18 years. They may be found in conjunction with another country's stamps, especially the Cape or Transvaal, as bank drafts arising in one country and cashed in another had to have both fees paid.

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Issue, Bank Wissel on Revenue stamps, 1882

This first issue was made by means of a hand stamp on the then current $1 / 6 \mathrm{~d}$ blue and $9 /-$ olive-bistre. The surcharge read in large sans serif type BANK WISSEL / DRIE PENCE with a value canceling bar at the bottom. It is found with values in black on the 18 d and purple-black and violet on the $9 /$-, perhaps implying three printings. B\&M mention a 3 d on 18 d in violet, but the authors have not seen an example.


18d in black


9/- in purple


9/- in Violet

There are no known varieties. However, as might be expected from a handstamp, there are occasional weakly inked imprints, as in the two below.


Bank Wissel 18d in black, weak CE


Bank Wissel 18d in black, weak surcharge

## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Issue, Bank Wissel on Postage Stamps, 1890-1900



All Block


All Roman


Roman 'B' Block 'W'

From 1890 forward, the 3d bank draft stamp was provided by overprinting BW on 3d postage stamps in a printing press, presumably Borckenhagen's. There were three Settings, all in black, but with various typefaces.

The $1^{\text {st }}$ Setting was BW in all large capitals, but of both Roman ( 3.5 mm ) and Block ( 4 mm ) fonts. Three types occur: all Block, all Roman and Roman $\underline{B}$ with Block $\underline{\mathrm{W}}$, as shown above. Earliest reported usage is September 18, 1890. No constant varieties are recorded. Apparently a very small issue. Also apparently accepted for postage as below, though over paid.


## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Setting BW on 3d Postage, 1892



This Setting utilized small Roman capitals ( 2.8 mm ), with 2 mm space between the $\underline{B}$ and the $\underline{\mathrm{W}}$. Earliest known usage is August 13, 1892. The only variety known to us is a missing bottom serif of the $\underline{B}$, shown below life size and enlarged. 3 examples have been seen, with at least one position being in the second column.


## $3^{\text {rd }}$ Setting BW on 3d Postage, 1893

This Setting used the same type as the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Setting, but with the two letters closely spaced, as can be seen in the pair to the right. This is also the earliest known usage, May 7, 1893. It was a rather large issue, lasting until the British invasion.

A number of varieties are known, of which some have been
 seen on more than one example.


Normal usage within the O.V.S. as example below. Used from Winburg to Bethlehem.


When the O.V.S. draft was encashed in one of the other provinces, revenue stamps of both provinces will appear. Below are examples from the Cape and the Z.A.R.


As with the normal revenue stamps, the BW stamps were accepted by the Post Office for ordinary mail, as in the below examples from Bethlehem and Winburg.


## Chapter 4, General Means Tax of 1885



In 1885, the Volksraad passed the first general tax of the O.V.S. This has generally, but erroneously, been called a "Legacy Duty" due to a mistranslation of the Dutch word 'erf'. The rates ${ }^{1}$ to be paid are reported as:

2/- per 100 morgen of farmland.
1 d per pound sterling of assessed value on town dwellers. (A rate of only $0.4 \%$, ed.).
Male residents with no property to pay $1 \%$ of salary.
No adult white male could pay less than $£ 1$ sterling per year.
$10 /-$ per year for independent adult colored males.
The document translated here, with original shown on the next page, shows the rate printed on the form is $2 /-$ per 100 morgen. This $2 /-$ per 100 morgen is the same rate as the 'erfpacht' or ground rent previously collected. So, as far as farms were concerned, this was apparently not an additional tax, but rather a replacement and a new way of recording payment. It also extended taxation to a broader base.

## ORANGJEVRIJSTAAT

TAX ON FARMS
ORDINANCE No. 22, 1885
District Caledonrivier
Paid on the $4^{\text {th }}$ December, 1885
By H.J. Diederick
For Farm Cypherfontein, No. 72
Size 1387 Morgen
(a) 2 shillings for each 100 morgen

For the year 1885
Tax £1.8.--
Penalty
£1.8.--
(Signature)
Landdrost

[^7]

Ordinance No. 22 of 1855, Farm Tax Form


Ordinance No. 22 of 1855, Town Lot Tax Form

This tax originally was to be evidenced by the purchase of specially overprinted stamps, but this apparently was found so cumbersome that the requirement for stamps was soon dropped, probably after the second year, since a similar form in 1887 does not use stamps


The latest usage known is from 1886 as seen here.

Also of interest is the discovery of an example of a 2 shilling stamp which had not been overprinted, but was used in conjunction with a properly overprinted $£ 1$ stamp. The Landrost performing the transaction alleviated this problem by adding a manuscript "Ord./22-85" to the stamp. (See top stamp and enlargement below)


| Table 4.1 <br> Means Tax stamps of 1885 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Postage Stamps Overprinted |  | Revenue Stamps Overprinted |  |
| Value | Colors | Value | Colors |
| 1d red-brown, $5^{\text {th }}$ Print | R, V | 1/- purple-brown | V |
| 3d blue | R | 2/- magenta | V, B |
| 6 d rose, $4^{\text {th }}$ Print | V | 5/- carmine | V, B |
| 1 1- orange, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Print | R, V | 10/- orange | V, B |
|  |  | £1 purple | V, B |
|  |  | $£ 2$ red-brown | B |
|  |  | $£ 5$ green | V, B |

The overprint was applied with a hand stamp, thus, Ord. / 22-85. It is found in red-violet $(\mathrm{R})$, blue-violet $(\mathrm{V})$, and black $(\mathrm{B})$ and is normally found reading vertically on the postage stamps and horizontally on the revenues. However, being a hand stamp, it can be found in almost any orientation. The values and ink colors reported are shown in Table 4.1 and illustrated below.


1d Red-violet up, no stop $\underline{d}$


3d red-violet
up


6d violet down, no stop $\underline{d}$


1/- violet horizontal


1/- revenue violet
used Bethlehem


2/- black no stop d


5/- violet

£2 black used Harrismith

£5 black

It would also appear that there were several settings of the hand stamp due to the presence or absence of stops after the $\underline{d}$ of Ord on the same value. The two magnified examples below show that the lack of a stop after $\underline{d}$ is not due to wear, as the example missing the stop after the $\underline{\mathrm{d}}$ has a square unworn stop after ' 85 '.


That it is not merely an inking problem is demonstrated by the block of 4 of the $1 /-$ value below, all without the stop.


The extreme case of applying the handstamp perfectly upside down is known on the $10 /-$ and $£ 2$ values only, as shown below.


## Chapter 5, The Occupation Revenues

The overprintings of the revenue stamps were interspersed among the printings of the postage values, generally utilizing the same basic forme and same basic overprint as the preceding postage Setting. Since they were twice the size of the postage stamps, a major rework of the forme was necessary each time the printer switched from Postage to Revenue or vice versa. This difficulty was compounded by the fact that the 6 d Revenue was in a vertical format, rather than horizontal so required its own resetting.

Of the 17 values of revenue Stamps printed by De La Rue, all except the 8/- are known with the V.R.I. overprint. The only source of information on quantities overprinted is an article written in $1901^{1}$ that is obviously somewhat garbled. It is also not clear if these numbers include the sheets overprinted with AT. This information is given below in Table 5.1. For telegraph overprints of revenue issues, see Volume 2, Chapter 4.

| Table 5.1 Number of sheets available for overprinting |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6d | 1000 | 8/- | 60 |
| 1/- | 700 | 9/- | 0 |
| 1/6d | 2700 | 10/- | 400 |
| 2/- | 1950 | £1 | 0 ? |
| 3/- | 950 | £2 | 400 |
| 4/- | 250 | £3 | 300 |
| 5/- | 450 | £4 | 100 |
| 6/- | 250 | £5 | 0 |
| 7/- | 70 |  |  |

The overprinting was done in a total of 7 Settings, with the forme transformations as set out fully in Chapter 13 of Volume 1.

The detail of the variety diagrams is heavily based on knowledge of the related Postage Settings, as no complete panes or sheets of the revenue stamps are known. However, a number of values/Settings have been reconstructed utilizing large blocks and multiples. Since the postage forme immediately preceding is also known, most of the Settings can be fairly confidently described. Terminology is consistent with that of Vol. 1, "The Postage Stamps".

[^8]
# Group A of the V.R.I. Overprints 

No thick ' $V$ 's

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting, level stops

## V. R. I.

## E5



The $1^{\text {st }}$ Revenue Setting followed immediately after the $1^{\text {st }}$ Postage Setting and was the first of three consecutive Revenue Settings: the $1^{\text {st }}$ Revenue, the $1^{\text {st }} 6 \mathrm{~d}$ Revenue and then the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Revenue Settings. Printing the 6 d revenue in the vertical format between two horizontal format revenues makes no sense from the printer's point of view, but it seems quite certain that it was done that way.

The forme was derived by re-spacing the $1^{\text {st }}$ Postage Setting, i.e. just spreading it out to accommodate the longer horizontal revenue format. The only values known are the $£ 1$ and $£ 5$, shown above. The V.R.I. is of course the same as for the Postage Setting and with all level stops, but the values are from a lighter font, see above.

The arrangement and varieties are as shown in Tables 5.1b and 5.2. It is somewhat theoretical, as the only large block seen is a block of 20 of the $£ 1$ value, which shows it was not a Setting of 30 , but rather of 60 . However, since Vars. C, F and G all show up later in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Revenue Setting, at least they must be present in this Setting. Variety G even appears in the same position.

In addition, a variety 'Dropped I, stop normal' has been seen on both values, location unknown, although based on the Allison block, it would occur in postage position 38. No misfeeds or other printing problems have been seen.

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Revenue Setting Diagram, Varieties



Table 5.1a, Constant Varieties End of $1^{\text {st }}$ Postage Setting, 60 units

$\longrightarrow$|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | G |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | E |  |  |  | C |  |
| 7 |  |  | A |  |  | F |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  | B | I |  | K |  |
| 10 |  |  | DH |  |  |  |

Table 5.1b, Constant Varieties, Assumed to be a mirror image. $1^{\text {st }}$ Revenue Setting, 60 units

Table 5.2. $1^{\text {st }}$ Revenues, Constant Varieties
Types as in Vol. I, Chapter 14. i.e. Var. $5.12=14.12$ in Vol I.

| Var. | Description, | Position | Vol III |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | W0-unit $1^{\text {st }}$ Postage No. | No. | Fig \# |
| A | Rectangular stop $\underline{R}$, broken comma $\underline{I}$. | 39 | 5.12 |
| B | Pear shaped stop after $\underline{I}$. | 50 | 5.13 |
| C | Nick in loop $\underline{R}$. | 35 | 5.14 |
| D | No stop $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$. | 57 | 5.15 |
| E | Nick left arm $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$. | 31 | 5.16 |
| F | Bottom serif $\underline{\mathrm{R}}$ clipped to point. | 42 | 5.17 |
| G | Break left arm $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$. | 12 | 5.18 |
| H | No left serif $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$, nick bottom right of the point of the $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$. | 57 | 5.15 |
| I | Gash left bottom of the $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$. | 51 | 5.19 |
| K | Nick right leg of $\underline{\mathrm{R}}$. | 53 | 5.20 |
| a | Dropped $\underline{\mathrm{I}}$, stop normal, both values. |  |  |

## R. I. <br>  <br> Fig 5.14, Var C Nick in loop R

Fig 5.12, Var A Rectangular stop $\underline{R}$, broken comma I.


Fig 5.15, Var DH
D, No stop $\underline{V}$ $H$, No left serif $\underline{V}$, nick on the bottom right of the point of the $\underline{V}$


Fig 5.16, Var E Nick left arm V

Fig 5.13, Var B Pear shaped stop after $\underline{I}$


Fig 5.17, Var F Bottom serif $\underline{R}$ clipped to point


Fig 5.18, Var G Break left arm $\underline{V}$


Fig 5.19, Var I Gash left bottom of the V


Fig 5.20, Var K Nick right leg of $\underline{R}$


The only large block known. Proves a setting of 60 rather than the 30 previously accepted. (Allison, Bull 190, pp 3168-76, Mar 2003)

Pays the 6 month bank license fee of $£ 37.10 .0$ with a block of 22 of the 1 st Setting $£ 1$ revenue.
Shows 3 minor flaws per Allison:
a. Break in the $\underset{\mathbf{£}}{ }$ sign
b. Break in Left arm V. (Same as Var E?)
c. Dropped I

His Var b might well be Var E, implying that the form was mirror imaged in the expansion, which would make sense. This this would be rows 3-7, columns 3-6.

|  |  | a |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | b |
|  |  | c |  |



A licence to sell South African Produce at the town market for 6 months. Fee £1/10/-
Using a 1st Issue $£ 1$ stamp and a later $10 /-$ stamp. ( $75 \%$ of actual)


A vertical pair of the $1^{\text {st }}$ Issue $£ 5$ revenue.
The top stamp shows Var G, a crack in the left arm of the $\underline{V}$. (4x actual)


Var a, Dropped I position unknown, shown at 4 x actual.


Transfer Deed. $£ 2$ of $3^{\text {rd }}$ Revenue Setting ( $60 \%$ actual)


Prospecting License. $2 /-5^{\text {th }}$ Revenue Setting. (60\% actual)

## $1^{\text {st }}$ 6d Vertical Revenue Setting, level stops

## V. R. I.

## $6 d$



It would seem unlikely that the $1^{\text {st }} 6 \mathrm{~d}$ Revenue Setting, with its vertical format, would be interposed between two horizontal format revenue Settings, but it quite definitely was. This involved a major rearrangement of the forme. Since a horizontal pair with the overprints in very different places ${ }^{1}$ has been reported, the forme must have been less than a full pane, likely of $5 \times 6$ shape as shown in Diagram 5.3. The value was still in the light font, with level stops.

There are a number of ways the units could have been rearranged. But the presence of Vars C, F, G and K (?) prove that it came from either the right or left half. Another restraint being that Var. G had to reappear in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting in the same place as in the $1^{\text {st }}$ Horizontal Setting. Other than that, nothing is known of the Setting.


One example of a double has been reported and is shown above. It has two complete prints. One print is quite faint, but far enough separated and complete enough to seem not be a 'kiss print'. This also shows Var. c, the broken bottom of the $\underline{6}$.

[^9]Varieties are shown in Table 5.4. The only specific varieties are Var. a, dropped 6, and Var. b, dropped I, stop normal and Var c, broken bottom 6. The d prints somewhat variably giving rise to reports that there are two or more fonts involved. However, they seem to be all from the same font, just with different inking. (See right)

Apparently this was a short run, as copies are fairly scarce and no usage has yet been reported.


Var a, dropped $\underline{6}$


Var b, Dropped I, level stop


Var c, break in bottom of the $\underline{6}$, doubled.

## Chapter 5, ${ }^{\text {st }}$ 6d Revenue Setting



## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting, raised and mixed stops.

## V. R.I.

## 10s



After the $1^{\text {st }} 6 \mathrm{~d}$ Setting, the $5 \times 6$ forme of the $1^{\text {st }} 6 \mathrm{~d}$ was put into a $3 \times 10$ arrangement to overprint the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Horizontal Revenue shilling values. The values were $1 /-, 5 /-$ and $10 /-$. The re-arrangement was done with some care, but only Var. G (here known as Var P) returned to its place in the $1^{\text {st }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting, while Vars F and C showed up in new locations. The values were in light font as before, but now with virtually all level stops replaced with new raised stops, see above.


This strip of four proves the 30 unit forme by showing the duplication on the first and last stamps of Var zz, dropped stop I, Var c, overprint dropped 2mm and Var S, bottom serif R clipped at bottom left.

The nomenclature here can be confusing, as $\mathrm{B} \& \mathrm{M}$ used different nomenclature in various settings for the same variety.

This Setting is closely related to the 'mixed font' $2^{\text {nd }}$ Postage Setting, as many of the level stops had been replaced by raised stops. Because of this close relationship, the varieties
in this Setting are identified using the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Postage nomenclature. To help prevent confusion, Tables $5.5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ show the transformation and the renaming of the varieties, as well as the older nomenclature.

Of minor note, the square level stop seen in the $1^{\text {st }}$ Postage Setting shows up here, and then not again until the $6^{\text {th }}$ Postage Setting!

The varieties of the three values shown are certain, as a half pane once was reported, and other blocks have confirmed the layout.

Either the forme was loose, or the typesetting was worse than normal, as there are quite a number of misalignments in the forme.

The $1 /-$ had at least one pane or half pane printed inverted. Also, the $1 /-$ and $5 /-$ are known with the V.R.I. misplaced into the top perforations.

This was the last of the Group A Settings and the type was distributed back to the printer's type case.


The Registration document above (at $75 \%$ of life) carries the 5 shilling value of this issue, with Var ww, level stops $\underline{R}$ and $\underline{I}$, and dropped $\underline{I}$. showing it came from position R10/1 or 4 .

Position R8/1 or 4 always generally shows Var b, value moved left, combined with either Var ww, level stop $\underline{R}$, with $\underline{I}$ and stop dropped or Var $x x$, no stop $\underline{V}$ and level stop $\underline{R}$ and TR serif $\underline{I}$ hurt ( 10 shilling only). Obviously some correction was attempted here, but it cannot be determined which came first.

## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting, Mixed Stops Diagram, Varieties

|  | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | G |
| 3 | C |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | F |
| 7 |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |

Table 5.5b
Constant Varieties,2nd Revenue Setting, $1^{\text {st }}$ Postage/ 1 st Horizontal RevenueNomenclature

|  | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | E | a | C |
| 2 | d | zz | P |
| 3 | Ra |  |  |
| 4 | J | a |  |
| 5 | b |  | Ba |
| 6 | Fb |  | $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{zz}, \mathrm{c}$ |
| 7 | D |  |  |
| 8 | $\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{yy}(\mathrm{xx})$ | a |  |
| 9 | B | C | A |
| 10 | ww |  | A |

Table 5.5c
Constant Varieties
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Revenue Setting, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Postage Nomenclature

Table 5.5a, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Revenues, Constant Varieties $2^{\text {nd }}$ Postage Nomenclature

| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 30-unit | Description | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | Fig. | B\&M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rev | $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{Rev}$ |  | Postage |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Rev |
| Var. | No. |  | No. |  | Var. |
| A | 9\&10/3 | All stops level and larger. | 40 | 5.2 | A |
| B | 9/1 | Level stops $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$ (and $\underline{\mathrm{I}}$ in 2nd Postage, all stops larger). | 52 | 5.3 | J |
| C | 1/3 | Level stop $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$. | 53 | 5.4 | B |
| D | 7/1 | Level stops $\underline{R}$ \& $\underline{I}$, right serif $\underline{V}$ damaged. | 58 | 5.5 | G |
| E | 1/1 | No left serif $\underline{V}$. | 4 | 5.6 | E |
| F | 6/1 | Bottom left serif I damaged, sometimes obscured by dirt. | 34 | 5.11 | F |
| J | 4/1 | Notch right arm $\underline{V}$ under serif. | 22 | $5 . .8$ | I |
| P | 2/3 | Crack left arm $\underline{V}$, sometimes obscured. 1st Setting G. | 12 | 5.18 | K |
| R | 3/1 | Nick in loop R, 1st setting Var C . | 16 | $5 . .21$ | N |
| S | 6/3 | Bottom serif $\underline{\mathrm{R}}$ clipped, 1st Setting F. | 36 | $5 . .22$ | nl |
| ww | 10/1 | Level stop $\underline{R}, \underline{I}$ and stop dropped. |  |  | C |
| xx | 8/1 | No stop $\underline{V}$, level stop $\underline{R}$, TR serif $\underline{I}$ hurt. 10/- only. | 46 |  | L |
| yy | 8/1 | Square level stop $\underline{V}$, level stop $\underline{R}$, no stop $\underline{I}, 1 /-\& 5 /-$ only | 46 |  | *H |
| ZZ | 6/3 | Level stop I.. | 36 |  | D |
| a |  | Value moved right. |  |  |  |
| b | 6/1 | Value moved left. |  |  |  |
| c | 6/3 | Overprint dropped by 2 mm , some panes. |  |  |  |
| d | 2/1 | Overprint raised. | 10 |  |  |

Note. Fig. 5.4, etc $=15.4$ etc in Vol I.

\author{

## V. R. I. <br> <br> Fig. 5.2 Var A <br> <br> All stops level

 <br> V. R. I. <br> Fig. 5.5 Var D <br> Level stops R \& I, right serif $\underline{V}$ damaged}

## V. R. I.

Fig. 5.3 Var B Level stops $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$ and $\underline{I}$

## I

Fig. 5.11 Var F Bottom left serifI hurt

## V. R. I. <br> Fig. 5.4 Var C Level stop $\underline{V}$

## V

Fig. 5.8 Var J Notch right arm $\underline{V}$


Fig. 5.6 Var E No left serif $\underline{V}$

R
Fig. 5.21 Var R 1st Setting Var C Nick in loop R

R
Fig. 5.22 Var S Bottom serif $\underline{R}$ clipped,

Note. The numbering above corresponds with the variety numbers in chapter 15 of Vol 1. e.g Var 5.21 here $=$ Var 15.21 in Volume 1. The three varieties below are new to this volume.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { V.i:I.: V R.I. V. R.I } \\
& \text { Fig 5.23 Varww } \\
& \text { Level stop R, } \\
& \underline{I} \text { and stop dropped } \\
& \text { Fig 5.24 Var xx } \\
& \text { No stop } \underline{V} \text {, level stop } \underline{R} \text {, } \\
& \text { TR serif I hurt } \\
& \text { Fig 5.25 Var yy } \\
& \text { Square level stop } \underline{V} \text {, } \\
& \text { level stop } \underline{R} \text {, no stop I, }
\end{aligned}
$$

# Chapter 6, Group B of the V.R.I. Overprints, the Thick ' $V$ 's 

## $3^{\text {rd }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting

The $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting was a large printing, covering six of the shilling values and three of the $£$ values. It is the first of the thick $\underline{V}$ Settings, with 6 thick out of 60 , and follows immediately after the $1^{\text {st }}$ AT (Army Telegraph). All of the nomenclature from here on will now be that of the 'Thick' V Postage Settings in Vol I.

The thick $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$ has a thicker right arm than the normal thin $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$. This can be seen below.


The printing was done in three sub-Settings, which all had the same V.R.I. varieties. The forme diagrams are shown as far as is known or can be reasonably surmised for each value, Tables 6.5a-i.

## Sub-Setting A



Canceled with OVS perforator, VERNIETIGD (means "cancelled") and date.
This was the shilling value printing for the $1 /-, 2 /-, 3 /-, 6 /-$, and $7 /-$ values. The value in the overprint is printed with the same heavy type as the postage values, and has a level stop after $\underline{s}$, see above and Tables 6.1-6.5. In addition to the varieties shown on these tables, examples are known with weak or broken stops, but these seem to be intermittent.

Copies exist of the $2 /-$ and $5 /-$ which have no visible stop after the value, but are forgeries. The manuscript dates are prior to the occupation. See below


## Sub-Setting B

## 1s 6d



This was the $1 / 6 \mathrm{~d}$ Printing. It still used the same heavy type for the values, but without stop. See Tables 6.1-6.5.

## Sub-Setting C



This was for the $£ 2$, $£ 4$, and $£ 5$ values, and utilized the light type used in the $1^{\text {st }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting. See Tables 6.1-5.


Usage of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Horizontal Setting. $6 /-$ of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Horizontal and $10 /-$ and $£ 2$ of the later $5^{\text {th }}$
Horizontal, on a Transfer Deed

## $4^{\text {th }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting



The $4^{\text {th }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting came immediately after the $4^{\text {th }}$ Postage Printing. The forme was composed of the left half of the postage forme, spread to accommodate the double size revenues, see Tables 6.6-6.8. It contained 4 'thick' V's from the left hand side of the $4^{\text {th }}$ Postage forme.


That it was a 30 unit forme is shown by the two pairs above. The left shows vertical separation and the right show horizontal separation

The values overprinted were $4 /-, 5 /-, 9 /-, £ 1, £ 3$ and $£ 5$ and all were set in a heavy type with raised stops. The V.R.I. type is by now quite worn. The $\underline{£}$ sign is new, showing a tighter right bottom loop, see above.

The order of printing is not known, but it seems that the $£$ values were printed first, as many of the 'large stop I's are very prominent on the $£ 5$ value. By the time of the $5 /$ - rose, the 'large' stops are worn down and not prominent at all. Also, the four thick V's especially are quite worn and can be individually distinguished.

The $£ 5$ value is quite plentiful and the $5 /-$ and $£ 1$ are quite easy to find. The other values are quite scarce.

Three specific minor varieties, see Table 6.8, have been found on the $£ 5$ value, but have not been positioned on the forme.

Doubles are known of the $£ 1$ from two occurrences. In one case, the two overprints are close and just barely horizontally separated. In the other, only the example at right is known. On it, the first imprint is heavily slanted and on it the V.R.I. shows on the bottom

left corner. Due to the slant, however, it could occur in almost any position on the stamp on other examples when found. The second print is normal.

The 5/- value is also known inverted, well centered.


Off-center prints are not uncommon. The 5/- is known with the print so low that the value is on the perforations.

$£ 5,4^{\text {th }}$ setting with $2 \mathrm{x} 2 £ 5$ th and $6 /-3$ rd setting. Deed of Transfer (75\%)


A licence for a Fire Insurance Co. to operate an agency,
A strip of 4 of the $4^{\text {th }}$ Horizontal $£ 5$ stamps.

## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Vertical 6d Setting

## V. R. I.

## 6d

Immediately after the $4^{\text {th }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting came the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Vertical 6d Revenue Setting. During this resetting, a number of varieties disappeared, and some new appeared. The order of the varieties was completely lost, as can be seen by comparing Tables 6.9-6.11. Variety KN, level stop $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$ and large break in right $\operatorname{arm} \underline{V}$, was pulled out of the unused portion of the forme and inserted here intact. Oddly enough, when this forme was rotated and re-set for the $5^{\text {th }}$ Postage setting, this new arrangement was maintained exactly.

The strip at the right can be identified as Column 10, Rows 3,1,2,3, showing it to be a 30 unit forme.

This Setting is distinguished by raised stops in the V.R.I. and no stop after the value, above. The value is in the same heavy type as the V.R.I.

At least one half pane was surcharged twice. One overprint is quite faint, but clearly not just a 'kiss' print. The light print is displaced right about 3 mm from the full strength print. Note the clear $\underline{d}$, with the distinct outline, characteristic of a print where the type has not been re-inked after the previous impression.


Table 6.1
$3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ Horizontal and $2^{\text {nd }} 6 \mathrm{~d}$ Vertical VRI Revenue Settings
Variety types as in Volume I, i.e. 6.4=18.4 in Vol I.

V
Fig. 6.4. Var. A, Thick V, 3rd \& 4th Rev


Fig. 6.8. Var. B Hurt serifs 3rd Rev


Fig. 6.11.Var. F Rt arm hurt 3rd Rev,

## R

Fig. 6.19. Var. D Clipped top serif 3rd Rev

## V. R

Fig. 6.42 Var. J
Tops $\underline{V} \& \underline{R}$ damaged 3rd \& 4th Rev Wide V.R 4th Rev

## $4^{\text {th }}$ Horizontal revenue

R
Fig. 6.23. Var. M Top R shaved 4th Rev,


Fig. 6.103 Var k, wide space VRI to value
9.5 mm , vs 9 mm normal

## 2nd Vertical 6d



Fig. 6.37 Var KN Level stop R Break right arm $\underline{V}$


Fig. 6. 104 Var U Nick inside top left arm V


Fig 6.105 Var p Slug VR or RI

## $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Horizontal Value Varieties



Var 1
Raised stop $\underline{s}$


Var 2,3
No top serif $\underline{s}$, Flat stop s


Var 9
Bottom ball of $\underline{3}$ broken


Var 12 Break bottom stem $\underset{\underbrace{}}{£}$

Var 14
Top of $\underset{\underline{£} \text { damaged }}{ }$



Var 3 Flat stop s


Var 10
Bottom of top ball $\underline{3}$ flattened


Var 17
Large break vertical stroke 5


Var 13 Break BR loop $\underline{£}$


Var 18 Small break vertical of $\underline{5}$ Short flag 5

## Chapter 6, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Revenue Setting, Diagram, Varieties



Table 6.2a $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ AT Setting

Table 6.3 1st AT Setting

| Var | Description | No. Fig. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| A | Thick $\underline{V}$. |  | 6.4 |
| B | Both serifs $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$ damaged to various degrees. | 2 | 6.8 |
| C | No top serif $\underline{R}$. | 16 | 6.18 |
| D | Top serif $\underline{R}$ clipped to point. | 38 | 6.19 |
| E | Broken comma after $\underline{R}, T L$ serif $\underline{I}$ shaved. | 60 | 6.20 |
| F | Right arm $\underline{V}$ damaged. | 31 | 6.11 |
| G | Base of $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$ hurt. L side bot clipped to point. | 37 | 6.10 |
| I | Top of $\underline{d}$ damaged. | 28 | 6.49 |
| J | Tops $\underline{V} \& \underline{R}$ damaged. | 2 | 6.42 |
| a | Dropped $\underline{V}$ and stop, 1st AT. | 24 |  |

Note. 6.4, etc $=18.4$ etc in Vol I.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | C | A |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | A | a |
| 5 |  |  |  | I |  |  |
| 6 | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | G | D | A |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | A |  |  | A |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | E |

Table 6.2b
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting

Table 6.4
3rd Rev, Specific Varieties

Raised stop s.
No top serif.
Flat stop $\underline{\text { s }}$.
Nick BR stop s.
Broken commas.
Nick base stop $\underline{s}$.
Nick base s.
Nick BL stem of $\underline{1}$.
Bottom ball of $\underline{3}$ broken.
Top ball of $\underline{3}$ broken.
Damaged $\underline{6}$ and BL serif $\underline{s}$ missing, unplaced.
Break bottom verticalof the $£$, unplaced.
Break BR loop $£$, unplaced.
Top $£$ damaged.
15 Value moved right.
16 Surcharge moved left.
17 Break vertical stroke of 5 .
18 Break vertical of $\mathbf{5}$. Short flag 5

## Chapter 6, $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Revenue Setting Diagrams

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | B |  |  | A 1 |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 5 | C | A |  |
| 4 |  |  | 4 | 5,8 | A |  |
| 5 |  |  |  | I |  |  |
| 6 | F |  |  |  | 2,3 |  |
| 7 | G | D | A |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | A |  |  | A |
| 9 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 10 |  |  |  | 6 |  | E |

Table 6.5a 1/-
Sub-Setting A

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | B1 |  |  | A |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | C | A |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | A | a |
| 5 |  |  |  | I |  |  |
| 6 | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | G | D | A |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | A |  |  | A |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | E |

Table 6.5b 2/-
Sub-Setting A, stops replaced

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | B 1 |  |  | A |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | C | A |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | A | a |
| 5 |  |  |  | I |  |  |
| 6 | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | G | D | A |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | A |  |  | A |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | E 5 |

Table 6.5d 6/-
Sub-Setting A, Var 11 unplaced
Sub-Seting A, Var 11 uplaced

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | B1 |  |  | A |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | C | A |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | A 9 | a |
| 5 |  |  |  | I |  |  |
| 6 | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | G 10 | D | A |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | A |  |  | A |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | E |

Table 6.5c 3/-
Sub-Setting A

Table 6.5e 7/-
Sub-Setting A, no information

## Chapter 6, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Revenue Setting Diagrams

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | C | A |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | A | a |
| 5 |  |  |  | I |  |  |
| 6 | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | G | D | A |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | A |  |  | A |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | E |

Table $6.5 \mathrm{f} 1 / 6 \mathrm{~d}$ Sub-Setting B

Table 6.5 g £2
Sub-Setting C,
Var. B on No.2, damage to both serifs $\underline{V}$, now has damage to TL serif $\underline{R}$, making it now Var J.

Otherwise, no particular information.

Table 6.5h $£ 4$
Sub-Setting C,
Very scarce, little information.
Does show Var J.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | J |  |  | A |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | C | A |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | A | a |
| 5 |  |  |  | I |  |  |
| 6 | F | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | G | D | A |  |  |  |
| 8 | 16 | 17 | A |  |  | A |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 15 |  |  |  |  | E5 |

Table 6.5i $£ 5$
Sub-Setting C, Var 18 unplaced

## Chapter 6, $4^{\text {th }}$ Revenue Setting, Diagram, Varieties

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | Ui | A | c |
| 2 |  |  | c |
| 3 | M | Aic | Cc |
| 4 | i | A | c |
| 5 | i | mq | c |
| 6 | i |  | c |
| 7 | i |  | c |
| 8 | Ai |  | c |
| 9 | ky |  | c |
| 10 | Ei |  | c |

Table 6.6a
$4^{\text {th }}$ Postage, Final Print
Table 6.6b
$4^{\text {th }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting

Table 6.7
$4^{\text {th }}$ Revenue Setting, 'Constant' Varieties

Table 6.8
$4^{\text {th }}$ Revenue Setting, Specific Varieties

| Var | Description | No. | Fig. | Var | Description | No. | Fig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Thick V. |  | 6.4 | c | Large stop after I. |  | 6.102 |
| C | No top serif $\underline{\text { R }}$. | 15 | 6.18 | i | Left arm of $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$ weak. |  | 6.15 |
| E | Broken comma after $\underline{\mathrm{R}}, \mathrm{TL}$ serif $\underline{\mathrm{I}}$ shaved. | 55 | 6.20 | k | Wider spacing ( 9.5 mm ) between V.R.I. and value. | 37,43 | 6.103 |
| M | Top of $\underline{R}$ shaved. | 13 | 6.23 | y | Slight break right arm $\underline{V}$. | 49 | 6.14 |
| U | Nick TL arm $\underline{V}$, split stop $\underline{V}$. | 1 | 6.104 | z | Slightly wider spacing of V.R.I. | 60 |  |
|  |  |  |  | aa | Broken stop I, $£ 5$ only, unplaced. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | bb | 'Slash' after value, $£ 5$ only, above aa. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | cc | Raised stop after value, $£ 5$ only, unplaced. |  |  |

Note. 6.4, etc $=18.4$ etc in Vol I.

## Chapter 6, $2^{\text {nd }} 6 \mathrm{~d}$ Revenue Setting, Diagram, Varieties



Table 6.9a
$4^{\text {th }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting

Table 6.10
$4^{\text {th }}$ Revenue \& 2nd 6d Constant Varieties

| Var | Description | No. | Fig. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| A | Thick $\underline{V}$. |  | 6.4 |
| C | No top serif $\underline{R}$. | 15 | 6.18 |
| E | Broken comma after $\underline{R}$, TL serif $\underline{I}$ <br> shaved. | 55 | 6.20 |
| K | Level stop $\underline{V}$. | 21 | 6.37 |
| M | Top of $\underline{R}$ shaved. | 13 | 6.23 |
| N | Large break right arm $\underline{V}$. | 21 | 6.37 |
| O | Wide space $\underline{\mathrm{V} \mathrm{R} .}$ | 17 | 6.101 |
| U | Nick TL arm $\underline{V}$, split stop $\underline{\mathrm{V} .}$ | 16 | 6.104 |


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | c |  |  | $c^{\prime}$ | c | Cc |  |  | A |
| 2 |  |  | M | i | yk' | p | O |  | A |  |
| 3 | KN | Ai |  |  | A |  |  |  | n' |  |

Table 6.9b
$2^{\text {nd }} 6 \mathrm{~d}$ Revenue
Varieties move during this rearrangement and it also picks up some of $B R$ corner of $4^{\text {th }}$ Postage, Var KN.

Table 6.11
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Vertical 6d
Specific Varieties

# Thin serifed values, no thick V's. 

## $5^{\text {th }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting

## V.R.I.

$2 s$.

V.R.I. £2


The $5^{\text {th }}$ was the final Horizontal Revenue Setting, and was probably done to clean up all the leftovers, in conjunction with the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ Postage and $3^{\text {rd }}$ AT Setting. The eight values were $1 /-, 1 / 6 \mathrm{~d}, 2 /-, 3 /-, 6 /-, 10 /-, ~ £ 2$, and $£ 3$.

The forme was completely new, set from a different thinner font, see above. The $£$ sign is very different, now having two cross bars instead of one. The stops are light and all level.


This horizontal pair of the 10 /- provides evidence that the forme was only a half pane, that is, 30 units. It is difficult to see, but this pair is double overprint, both inverted. The two faint overprints are vertically displaced one from the other, and it does not seem to be from a twisted sheet. Rather, it appears to be two separate faint imprints.

## Inverts

A study of inverts shows:

1. The dates cover a 5 -month period, assuming all were 1902.

2 The measurements on this pair show that it was a $1 / 2$ pane forme of 30 units, and that these were from columns 3 and 4 of the pane.
3. By means of a template, all three of the singles can be associated with one of the pair.

| 10/- Double Inverted Measurements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stamp \# | $1^{\text {st }}$ print |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Print |  | Faint vs strong movement |  |
|  | R. <br> horizontal | down | R. horizontal | down | R <br> horizontal | Vertical UP |
| 1 | 35 | 20.5 | 41 | 12.5 | +6 | +7 |
| 2 | 35 | 19.5 | 42 | 9.8 | +7 | +9.5 |
| 3 | 35 | 19.5 | 34 | 9.5 | -1.5 | +10 |
| 4 | 33.8 | 21 | 33 | 21 | -1 | 0 |
| 5 | 31.5 | 20.5 | 40.5 | 20 | +9 | 0 |
| Measurements in mm, and the base points are the middle of the frame lines and the bottom of the $\underline{\mathrm{R}}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

So these three more examples of the $10 /$ - double inverted overprints have shed a bit more light in the study above. Eight examples in total are known.

The left stamp has both prints shifted left on the stamp. The center stamp has the faint imprint shifted up on stamp, and the right stamp has both close and centered.


## PRINTING VARIETIES

Inverted prints are known single on the $1 /-$ and 10 - and $£ 2$ (see below)


Doubles are known on the $1 /-$ and 10/- values value (see stamp shown previously with close double), as well as the inverts, both doubled. On the $10 /-$, one double shown below with two rather good impressions. One of which, however, is slanted up to the right, which would have caused the print to not show on some of the stamps, necessitating the second impression.


Also known with the print misplaced up $1 / 2$ stamp height (see below), so the bottom row shows only the $\underline{1 \mathrm{~s}}$. This should result in transposed prints, but none have been reported.


Very little else is known of this Setting. Reported varieties, probably constant as they have been seen on multiple examples and values, include large stop $\underline{I}$, missing top or bottom serif of the $\underline{R}$, no top left serif $\underline{V}$, base of $\underline{V}$ missing, damaged $\underline{s}$, and weak bottom serifs $\underline{R}$ and $\underline{I}$.

This rather large number of printing problems for such a small print is indicative of a good degree of haste.


Large stop I, on $1 / 6 \mathrm{~d}, 2 /-$ and $£ 2$ stamps


2 sh top row $\lg$ stop I , short bottom right serif $\underline{R}$

$£ 3$, Block of 6. Missing serif R TR stamp,
Larger stop $\underline{I}$ on middle left.

£2 weak serifs $\underline{R} \& \underline{2}$
Base stamp rare variety, HYPHEN after VRY


2sh top left serif $\underline{V}$ absent larger stop I


1sh6d right loop s shaved

## Usages



Example of $4^{\text {th }}$ horizontal Setting 10/- (75\%)
A certified copy of an OVS land grant for the orphan's court.

## $3^{\text {rd }}$ Vertical 6d Revenue



Either before or after the $5^{\text {th }}$ Horizontal Revenue Setting, the remaining 6d revenues were overprinted.

Again, little or nothing is known of this Setting, aside from the fact that the same font was used as in the $5^{\text {th }}$ horizontal Setting, implying that there was a relationship between the Horizontal and Vertical Setting formes. The value had a level stop after the value.

The only varieties reported are shown on the next page.


# Chapter 7, The Bank Draft Occupation Overprints 



The stocks of the 3d Republican Bank Wissel (Dutch for Bank Draft) stamps were either very low at the time of the Occupation, or it was felt that they were inappropriate for further overprinting. In any case, it was decided to use the 3 d postage stamps from the $5^{\text {th }}$ Setting and to overprint them B. D. This was English for 'Bank Draft', as part of imposing the English language on the conquered Dutch speaking populace. The stamps are today quite scarce.

The Setting was of 60 units, utilizing type from two different fonts. One 'wide' and one 'narrow.' In various combinations, this produced 4 different types of the B.D.
B.D.

Type 1, Both letters wide, Fig. 7.1
Type 2, Both letters narrow, Fig. 7.2
Type 3, Wide $\underline{B}$ and narrow D, Fig. 7.3
Type 4, Narrow B and wide D, Fig.7.4

Fig. 7.101 Type 1, Wide $\underline{B}$, Wide $\underline{D}$

## B.D.

Fig. 7.103 type 3, Wide B, Narrow D
B.D.

Fig. 7.102 Type 2, Narrow B, Narrow D
B.D.

Fig. 7.104 Type 4, Narrow B,Wide D

| Table 7.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dimensions of B and $\underline{\text { D }}$ |  |  |
| Letter | "Wide" | "Narrow" |
| $\underline{B}$ | 4.3 mm | 3.9 mm |
| $\underline{\mathrm{D}}$ | 5.2 mm | 4.6 mm |

Dimensions of the individual letters are given in Table 7.1. The overall dimensions of the overprint cannot be used to determine types, since the spacing was somewhat loose and the 'narrow' and 'wide' letters seem to have the same type base width, with only the letter itself having a different width. This changes only the letter spacing in the print, not the true overall spacing.

No large blocks are known to have survived, but Walter Morley described the layout of a pane in $1902^{1}$ and this information as to types is shown in Table 7.2. This layout yields the numbers per pane of 37 Type 1, 15 Type 2, 2 Type 3, and 6 Type 4.

In addition, we know the layout of the 3 d , $5^{\text {th }}$ Setting overprint, which is shown in Table 7.4 taken from Chapter 18 of the first volume of this series. Thus almost any single stamp of the B.D. setting can be plated and varieties of the B.D. print located. Bartshe has done this ${ }^{2}$ and the abbreviated results are shown in Table 7.3 and following illustrations. It is not certain that all of these varieties are constant, as some may have arisen during the print, and others are dependent upon printing conditions. For example, the missing stop after $\underline{\mathrm{D}}$, shown at top of prior page and later where it partially printed. In addition, there was some looseness in the forme and an occasional wide spaced $\underline{B}$. $\underline{\text { D. occurs. }}$


Usage on Bank Draft
BD Type 2

[^10]
## Chapter 7, Bank Draft Setting, Varieties

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

Table 7.2
Distribution of B.D. Types


Table 7.3
B.D. Varieties

Table 7.5

## B.D. Varieties

|  |  | Pos. | Type | Fig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Large break top loop B. | 2 | 3 | 7.107 |
| 2 | Top serif $\underline{\text { D }}$ missing. | 3 | 4 | 7.108 |
| 3 | Large stops both, top serif $\underline{\mathrm{D}}$ missing. | 6 | 1 | 7.109 |
| 4 | Large stop $\underline{B}$, no top serif $\underline{D}$. | 7 | 1 | 7.110 |
| 5 | No stop $\underline{D}$, broken stop $\underline{B}$. | 8 | 1 | 7.111 |
| 6 | Break top loop B. | 12 | 1 | 7.112 |
| 7 | Wide spaced 3d, large stop $\underline{\text { D }}$. | 18 | 1 | 7.113 |
| 8 | Wide spaced $\underline{B D}$, no top serif $\underline{\mathrm{D}}$. | 32 | 1 | 7.114 |
| 9 | Large stop \& broken top $\underline{B}$. | 34 | 2 | 7.115 |
| 10 | Broken stop B , gash top \& bottom loop D . | 38 | 4 | 7.116 |
| 11 | Vertical oblong stops, loops $\underline{B}$ damaged. | 39 | 2 | 7.117 |
| 12 | Short top serif $\underline{D}$, oblong stop $\underline{D}$. | 43 | 3 | 7.118 |
| 13 | Broken stop B , large stop \& crack base $\underline{\mathrm{D}}$. | 46 | 2 | 7.119 |
| 14 | Top serif $\underline{\mathrm{D}}$ missing. | 55 | 4 | 7.120 |
| 15 | Oblong stops $\underline{B} \& \underline{\mathrm{D}}$. | 60 | 1 | 7.121 |

## Chapter 7, Bank Draft Setting, Varieties

## B.D. B.D. <br> Fig 7.107 Var 1, Large break top loop of $\underline{B}$ <br> Fig 7.108. Var 2, Broken top of loop and damaged stop $\underline{D}$ <br> Fig 7.109 Var 3, Large stops $\underline{B} \& \underline{D}$ <br>  <br> Fig 7.110. Var 4, Large stop $\underline{B}$, no top serif $\underline{D}$ <br> 



Fig 7.112. Var6, Break in top loop B

Fig 7.111. Var 5, No stop $\underline{D}$, broken stop $\underline{B}$ blunt bot. serif $\underline{D}$
B.D.


Fig 7.113. Var 7 , Wide spaced 3d, large stop $\underline{D}$

## B.D.

Fig 7.115. Var 9,
Large stop \& broken top B


Fig 7.116. Var 10, Broken stop B, gash top \& bottom $\underline{\mathrm{D}}$


Fig 7.117. Var 11, Vertical oblong stops, loops $\underline{B}$ damaged


Fig 7.114. Var 8, Wide spaced $\underline{B D}$, no top serif $\underline{D}$


Fig 7.18. Var 12, Oblong stop $\underline{D}$, short top serif $\underline{B}$

Fig 7.119 Var 13, Broken stop B, Large stop \& crack base $\underline{D}$


Fig 7.120. Var 14, Top serif $\underline{D}$ missing


Fig 7.121. Var 15, Oblong stops $\underline{B} \& \underline{D}$

## Chapter 7, Bank Draft Setting, Base 3d Varieties

Note. All variety nomenclature is identical with that in Vol I. Even the Figure numbers are the same, except the chapter number is 7 , not 18 , so 7.1 here $=18.19$ in Vol I.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | J 3 | $\mathrm{te}^{\prime}$ | c |  | Na |
| 2 |  |  | c |  |  | Ai |
| 3 |  | S |  |  | M 5 | C |
| 4 |  |  | c |  | 2 |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | d | A |
| 6 |  | e |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Ak |  | Tp | e | $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ |  |
| 8 | A | 4 |  | $\mathrm{Ce}^{\prime}$ | s | 1 |
| 9 |  |  | e |  |  |  |
| 10 | i |  | $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ | ce | A | Ay |

Table 7.4
5th Postage Setting
3d Varieties

Table 7.4, 5th Setting
3d VRI Varieties, Constant

| Var. | Description | Pos. | Fig. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| A | Thick $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$. |  | 7.4 |
| C | No top serif $\underline{\mathrm{R}}$. | 40 | 7.18 |
| G | Base of $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$ hurt. BL side clipped to point. | 39 | 7.10 |
| J | Tops $\underline{\mathrm{V}} \& \underline{\mathrm{R}}$ damaged. | 5 | 7.42 |
| M | Top of $\underline{\mathrm{R}}$ shaved. | 17 | 7.23 |
| N | Break right arm $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$. | 60 | 7.13 |
| P | Notch in right arm of $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$. | 48 | 7.16 |
| R | Notch in left side of $\underline{\mathrm{I}}$. | 60 | 7.30 |
| S | Right arm of $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$ hurt. | 14 |  |
| T | Break in center of $\underline{\mathrm{R}}$. | 33 | 7.24 |

Table 7.4a, 5th Setting 3d
VRI Varieties, Specific

Table 7.4b 5th Setting 3d VRI
Varieties of the value

| Var. |  | P | Fig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Top ball of $\underline{3}$ damaged. | 42 | 7.73 |
| 2 | Bottom loop $\underline{3}$ shaved. | 23 | 7.74 |
| 3 | Lead between $\underline{3}$ and $\underline{\text { d }}$ | 2 |  |
| 4 | Notch bottom loop 3 . | 44 | 7.75 |
| 5 | Top loop $\underline{3}$ shaved right. | 17 | 7.76 |


| Var. | Pos. | Fig. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c | Large stop $\underline{I}$. |  |  |
| d | No stop $\underline{R}$, variable. | 29 |  |
| i | No left serif $\underline{V}$. |  | 7.17 |
| k | Inverted $\underline{1}$ for $\underline{I}$. | 37 | 7.45 |
| o | Bases of $\underline{R}$ and $\underline{I}$ hurt. | 39 | 7.44 |
| p | No tail $\underline{d}$. | 27 | 7.54 |
| s | CASLON before $\underline{V}$. | 41 |  |
| y | Large nick BR of thick $\underline{V}$. | 53 |  |
| a, | Hand inserted $\underline{R}$, thin $\underline{V}$, occasional. | 6 | 7.46 |
| e, | Notch cross bar $\underline{R}$, various. |  |  |

## Chapter BD, Base varieties of Postage Setting 5

Numbered as in Vol I, ie Var 7.10 here $=18.10$ in Vol I.



Fig. 7.24. Var. T Break center bar


Fig. 7.17. Var. i. No left serif, Thick $\underline{V}$.


Fig. 7.45. Var. k Inverted $\underline{1}$ for $\underline{I}$


Fig. 7.44. Var. G +o, Bases $\underline{V}, \underline{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\underline{\mathrm{I}}$ hurt


Fig. 7.54. Var. p No taild


Fig. 7.46. Var. Nda' Inserted $\underline{R}$, no stop $\underline{R}$


Fig. 7. 73 Var. 1 Top ball hurt


Fig. 7. 74 Var. 2 Bottom loop shaved


Fig. 7.75. Var. 4 Notch bottom loop


Fig. 7.76. Var. 5 Top loop shaved right

## Chapter 8, The R.D.M. Franks <br> (Mounted Police Franks)



In 1862 the O.V.S. formed a Mounted Police (Rijdende Dienstmacht or R.D.M.) for policing the country. It was quite similar to the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. Both policed a very sparsely populated area. Most of the stations were two or three man outfits. The exact manning in 1894 is given in the following copy of the report to the President.

Communication for the R.D.M. was via the ordinary post, and in 1896 special franks were issued for this purpose.

Holdeman ${ }^{1}$ published an extensive paper on these franks in 1986, with much background material.

These franks were produced in three issues as shown above which are easily distinguished. Issue 1 had 8 vertical ornaments, Issue 2 had 9 vertical ornaments, and Issue 3 had R.D.M. in large type in the center. All had the same basic design, three lines reading In Dienst/R.D.M./O.V.S. or In Dienst/O.V.S./R.D.M. surrounded by a line of diamond shaped decorations. All have been plated.

The earliest known postmark is 19 December 1896 from Edenburg. No records survive as to the printer. However, in 1896 Borckenhagen was the official government printer, so seems the likely candidate.

[^11]
## REPORT <br> Of the Commandant of the Mounted Police to <br> His Excellency the State President <br> about the past service year 1893-94

The Corps is now 81 man strong, and these are divided as below:

| Bloemfontein | 7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Boshof | 6 |
| Bethlehem | 5 |
| Bethulie | 3 |
| Fauresmith | 7 |
| Ficksburg | 3 |
| Harrismith | 6 |
| Heilbron | 5 |
| Hoopstad | 3 |
| Jacobsdal | 3 |
| Kroonstad | 4 |
| Ladybrand | 4 |
| Philippolis | 3 |
| Rouxville | 5 |
| Smithfield | 2 |
| Thaba <br> 'Nchou | 2 |
| Vrede | 4 |
| Wepener | 3 |
| Winburg | 6 |

"Since the new law concerning the Mounted Police has only been in effect since the 22 nd of February, I am not yet able to give report on the results."

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Issue, 1896



The $1^{\text {st }}$ Issue had five units in a horizontal row, repeated four times vertically per sheet, line perforated 12, printed in black on coarse, off-white, gummed paper. The margin around each group of 5 is large. The individual frames in this group are $20 \times 22 \mathrm{~mm}$, with eight ornaments per side and no line separating O.V.S. from R.D.M. The above illustration shows a horizontal strip of four, types 2 to 5 , in that order. The five positions are characterized as follows:

No. 1. No left serif to the T in DIENST.
Top of the $4^{\text {th }}$ ornament down on the side missing.
No. 2. Ornament $2^{\text {nd }}$ from right on top is missing left tip.
No. 3. No top serif to $\underline{D}$ in DIENST.
Bottom right corner ornament missing its bottom.


No. 4. Top right corner ornament dropped down.


No. 5. Break under top left serif of the $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$.


Mint copies are generally found with little or partial gum. The illustrated strip of positions 2-5 shown above, for example, has some gum on the back, but appears to have been wet at some time.
$1^{\text {st }}$ Issue, Types \#2 and 3, showing wide margins. (Christies Mar 87)


## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Issue, 1897



The second issue is very similar to the first, but is taller ( 25 mm instead of 22 mm ) and has nine ornaments per side instead of eight. Again, in black on coarse, off-white yellowish paper, line perforated 12. It was set up as a four frank unit ( $2 \times 2$ ), which was printed four times on each sheet of gummed paper. . The four stamps shown in order above are characterized as follows:

No. 1. V, No stop.
No. 2. $\underline{\mathrm{O}}$, Broken base.
No. 3. V, Broken left serif.
No. 4. R, Broken top, most cases.


Previously, the earliest date reported was February 6, 1899. However, the Ficksburg cancel on the third shown above is clearly in 1897. The latest was November 27, 1899.
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Issue, full block of 4, showing setting.


This 4-margined full block of $4{ }^{1}$ illustrates the layout of the sheet, with wide margins around each block.

$2^{\text {nd }}$ Issue usage, November 26, 189?
(Christies July 1986)

[^12]

The $3^{\text {rd }}$ Issue is somewhat different. The R.D.M. is now in large letters, placed above the O.V.S., and the paper is finer and yellow, but subject to fading and browning of the base paper. The glue is heavy, glossy and medium dark. Line perforated 12 on thin, gummed paper. It is the same size as the second issue.

Earliest known date is Bloemfontein, March 10, 1900, but the two left stamps above appear to give an earlier 7 (or 17) March date. A number of copies bear the seal of the PMG, as in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ stamp above. Exactly why many of the used items show the seal of the PMG in Bloemfontein has been a subject of discussion. The obvious answer is that this seal was used as a censor mark in the Boer war, when this issue was used.

The $4^{\text {th }}$ stamp above (and one other known) has a Bloemfontein postmark as well as a Postmeester Generaal Seal mark, showing the latter was used as a censor mark, not a cancel.

Few used copies are known. The R.D.M. was called up as a unit at the beginning of the war and might well have been surrendered at Paardeberg, explaining the dearth of cancels. Unexplained is the dearth of stamps with gum.

The printing originated as a set of 8 units, 2 across and 4 down. There are three similar impressions of this 8 unit group per sheet, giving a total of 24,6 across and 4 down $^{1}$. Two alternative printing methods have been proposed. The first possibility is that a typeset unit of 8 could have been used to print the left side of the sheet, then the middle, and then the right side. The second possibility suggested is that one type set unit of 8 was made up, and impressed 3 times in a soft matrix, from which a stereo of 24 units was produced ${ }^{1}$. Some differences have been reported between stamps from the same position in the 8 -stamp unit. This could have occurred under either scenario. The table and diagram 8.1 following show the varieties of the Issue 3 of the R.D.M. franks.

[^13]From this, a third possibility seems most likely. Note that the bottom 4 stamps all shared variety $\mathrm{f}, 7^{\text {th }}$ dot upper and $10^{\text {th }}$ dot lower widely spaced. This is most unlikely in a type set block of 8 . So it must have been a stereo of 8 made from a single master type set unit. After the first four impressions of this master in the matrix, the left half of the line of dots slid left both top and bottom leaving the two wider spaces. The shift of the dots can be seen at the left side. The bottom four impressions were then made with this variety present. Then at least one, and barely possibly three, stereos were made from the mould, and used to print the franks.

| Diagram 8.1 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| R.D.M. 3rd Issue, Vars |  |
| Col. 1 | Col. 2 |
| $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ | c |
| d | e |
| f | $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}$ |
| $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{i}$ | f |



RDM $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Issue,
The 8 positions


[^14]$3^{\text {rd }}$ Issue, Block of 12 . Showing print columns 2, 1, 2. (Christies)


## Chapter 9, Military Franking

## Staats Artillerie Franking

The only full time military in the O.V.S. was a small artillery unit. They were given franking privileges, and had a 39 mm rubber stamp to validate their mail which also carried the note 'in dienst' (on service). This stamp, in an enlarged and very cleaned up image, is shown below as well as an actual image. Examples of these strikes are very scarce and generally quite poor. Ink colors are various; purple, red and green being known.



A July 28, 1899 use of the Artillery Franking, in purple, from the head office in Bloemfontein. Apparently personal use, as addressed in English to a Miss Susan Kottree. In this case, it has a signature endorsing the frank as well as being initialled in the bottom left (crossed out?).

Posted after the mail bag had been closed. Boxed 'LAAT GEPOST', a very rare marking.

## Commando Brief Franks

On the outbreak of war on October 11, 1899, the entire O.V.S. militia was called up. The troops were granted free mail privileges. The army mail service was under the direction of Mr. A. F. Hochapfel, in civilian life a chemist in Bloemfontein and apparently also a philatelist.

In pre-war Commando service, each letter had to carry the endorsement of an officer, and a few examples of this have survived. This practice carried into the opening of the Anglo-Boer war, as in the example below.


The sender had written 'Commando Dienst', and it had been validated by the handstamp of Veldcoronet Pretorius (an officer) of the Wijkrivier District of Vrede and initialed by someone else. It is dated 31 Oct 99 , just 20 days after the first shots were fired, obviously from a location that had not yet received the franks.

To avoid the time consuming task of endorsing each letter, General Barend ordered Hochapfel to arrange for a printed frank to expedite the mail. Hochapfel did so, having a Bloemfontein printer, Mr. Curling of Douglas St., produce the 'Commando Brief Frank'. This was done very expeditiously, as it is reported to have been issued on October 15, and the earliest known usage date is October 20, 1899, only 9 days after the start of the war. The latest known non-philatelic usage is on April 13, 1900, shown below.


April 13, 1900. From "Field Telegraph Office" to the Kroonstad Telegraphs.


The frank, a block of which is shown above, was typeset in a forme of 5 across and 4 down. A full ream of 500 sheets of a surface colored yellow, gummed paper was used, producing a total of 10,000 franks. It was line perforated 12 , and double perforations are known.

This frank was in use at least until the mid-April 1900. Later usage is known, notably May 1 in Kroonstad, but they appear to be philatelic. It is known with cancels from at least 35 different locations ${ }^{1}$, from Stormberg in the south, to Modder Rivier in the west and from Heilbron in the north to Dundee in the east. In all, 112 covers have been recorded from 24 locations ${ }^{2}$. A large number of the franks were captured by the British after the surrender of Cronje at Paardeberg on February 27, 1900 and then somehow were obtained by philatelists.

The basic design consists of three lines of type reading "COMMANDO BRIEF. /O.V.S./FRANKO.", separated by two bars and surrounded by a border made up from ornaments. Each of these ornaments contains two 'pearls' with a small arc inside, set in a background of vertical lines with heavier horizontal lines top and bottom. Special single pearl ornaments were used at each corner. The ornaments are generally set with the open side of the arc facing out. The dimensions of the frame are 34 mm by 25 mm .


[^15]Five individual stamps were set up in a forme, and the forme printed four separate times on each sheet of gummed paper. Probably by printing all 500 of the first position, then all of the second position, and so forth.

Each of the 5 positions had special characteristics. The main ones are as follows:


Col. 1, Type 1



Col. 2, Type 2


Col. 4,


Col. 5, Type 5

Type 1. The top left corner ornament is slightly raised. The first $\underline{O}$ in COMMANDO is broken at the top left. The fourth double pearl ornament from the left on the top is inverted as are all the bottom ones, with the open side of the arcs facing inwards.

Type 2. The fancy stop after $\underline{O}$ in O.V.S. has its bottom left corner broken off and the first leg of the $\underline{M}$ in COMMANDO is broken off at the bottom.

Type 3. The fancy stop after the $\underline{V}$ in O.V.S. is broken off at the bottom right and there is a blotch in between the pearls above the IE of BRIEF.

Type 4. The stop after BRIEF is dropped below the letters. Also, the inner line of the first ornament on the left and the outer line of the bottom middle ornament are damaged.

Type 5. The bottom of the second ornament from the top on the left is damaged and the bottom of the stop after $\underline{\mathrm{O}}$ of O.V.S. is hurt. Also, the first stroke of the $\underline{\mathrm{A}}$ in FRANKO is very light and the top of the $\underline{\mathrm{C}}$ in COMMANDO is flattened.

## Forgeries

There are three types of forgeries known and they were fully discussed by Bartshe ${ }^{1}$ in 1997.

## Type I Forgery

This group is all typeset, as was the original. There are three different varieties; Type Ia, Type Ib, and Type Ic. They are all very similar, especially in the design of the ornaments, so probably came from the same forger and probably all from one forme, although no multiple has been reported. All the 'pearls' in the ornaments have only a dot in the circle, rather than an arc. Otherwise, the general appearance is close to the original. They are all on a surface colored yellow paper of a hue similar to the original, and subject to fading. They are all found with forged postmarks.


No stop Brief


Forged (L) and real Modder River PM

## Type Ia. Forgery

This particular stamp shows 18 pearls on the top and bottom instead of 16 and 13 on the sides instead of 12 . The bottom left corner unit is turned 90 degrees counterclockwise from the correct position. There is no stop after BRIEF (see above). It is perforated 12.75 instead of 12 , and shows a forged Modder Rivier postmark with a very different ' $R$ ' and no 'C.G.H.' on the bottom (see above).

[^16]

Type Ic, Single pearl B.Right
These are very similar overall to Type Ia, but both now have the correct 12 pearls on each side but 17 instead of 16 pearls top and bottom. All reported copies have a forged BARKLEY WEST/C.G.H. postmark dated 'NO 22/99'. The forged cancel has quite different ' K ' and ' W ' from the original and is 23 mm instead of 22 mm in diameter. The only difference between these two types is the arrangement of the ornaments in the bottom of the frame. Both lines of ornaments are composed of two corner ornaments, 7 double pearl units and one single pearl unit. In Type Ib , the single pearl unit is on the left, adjacent to the left corner pearl. In Type Ic, the single ornament is moved to the right side, and now abuts the right bottom corner pearl. These two types are almost certainly made from the same forme.

## Type II Forgery



TII forgery with forged BONC 195


Genuine BONC 252 Barkley West

This is the most commonly seen forgery. It can be quickly recognized by the absence of stops after BRIEF and FRANKO, and the square instead of fancy stops in O.V.S. It is commonly seen in full sheetlets of 8 , ungummed and either imperforate or line perforated 11, as seen on the following page. At least this one example also has a forged BONC cancel.

The 'pearl' border has the correct number of pearls, but again the pearls only have a dot in the circle instead of an arc. In addition, the frames are composed of continuous lengths of the ornaments, instead of units of one and two. Borders of this sort are actually normally supplied to print shops in continuous lengths.

The great similarity of the eight units, as well as a close examination of the sheetlet, make it clear that the method of production was to set one unit typographically, then make eight impressions on a plate/stone and then print the sheet by lithography.

There are some small difference among the units, but no more than would be expected from such a method of production.

Type II Forgery Sheetlets


## Type III Forgery



This forgery is typeset and is immediately recognizable by the totally different typeface used for the O.V.S. and the much larger type used for COMMANDO BRIEF. It has been seen on various papers, generally without gum, including carmine-rose, pale yellowochre, pale yellow-buff, pale green, pale violet and brick red. It is seen imperforate and perforated 11. It was typeset, apparently from a forme of three units, $a, b$ and $c$. While there are the correct number of pearls top and bottom, and they have arcs, the borders have no corner units and are composed using only the normal two pearl units.

An examination of the stop after BRIEF can easily identify the three subtypes. This and other characteristics are shown in Table 9.1 and the following illustrations.

An imperforate vertical strip of 4 is known ${ }^{1}$ with the top two inverted and the bottom two upright, with two margins at top and left of the illustration. Unfortunately, the illustration is taken from an auction catalog, and does not in reproduction show the margins clearly. The right and bottom margins are completely hidden, along with about $10 \%$ of the stamps.

The number 687 is the auctioneer's lot number and should be disregarded.
However enough is visible to plate this issue, and the order is $3 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{~b}$, and 3 c , viewed normally, that is, upright.

[^17]| Table 9.1, Forgery, Types IIIa, IIIb and IIIc |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | IIIa | IIIb | IIIc |
| Stop after BRIEF. | Oval, dropped. | Square, dropped. | Square, level. |
| Stop after O. | Rounded. | Rounded. | Slightly rounded. |
| Stop after V. | Slightly rounded. | Rounded. | Slightly rounded. |
| Stop after S. | Square. | Rounded. | Slightly rounded. |
| Spacing between <br> ornaments. | Quite tight. | Quite tight. | Widely spaced top, bottom, <br> and at places on right. |
| Bottom line under OVS. | Same as top line. | Much thinner than top line. | Same as top line. |



Type IIIa, type IIIa, type IIIc, and type IIIc

## Chapter 10, Postal Stationery

## The London Printed Cards

## General

The definitive postal cards were of the values of $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ and 1 d , both single and reply cards, and were printed by De La Rue from electrotypes, utilizing the dies already prepared for the postage stamps. The color scheme had no relationship to the postage stamps.

## One Penny Definitive Card, 1884



The 1d definitive single card was printed in London by De La Rue, using the 1d postage stamp die (similar to the $1 / 2 d$ die shown on next page). It must have been printed in 1883, as the O.V.S. Postmaster General's Report says the issue date was late February 1884. ${ }^{1}$ The earliest known usage is March 10, $1884^{2}$. No records have been found for the first printing. The earliest records are for a further printing of 50,000 cards on April 20, 1892 and another printing of 20,000 in January 1899. ${ }^{3}$ The size of the forme is not definitely known, but the indication on stamp die proofs that three leads were taken would imply a forme of at
(Note: The images of the cardss appear lighter than actual due to paper brightness.)

[^18]

Die Proof for the Half Penny reply paid card of 1898. Half Misspelled. Later corrected.


Color Variation, Orange to Orange-Brown.
least three cards, but De La Rue normally would use a 10 up forme for postal cards for small runs. The size, decorative edging and type are all typical of De La Rue. ${ }^{1}$

A constant flaw in one position is a crack in the outer indicium frame line opposite the $\underline{\text { O }}$ of Oranje.

This card is $121 \times 75 \mathrm{~mm}$ and printed on stout whitish cardstock. As it went through several printings, the color varies from orange-yellow to orange-brown. Some also had 3 views of Bloemfontein on the reverse, which was done by W. A. Wright of Bloemfontein.


Halfpenny Provisional Card, 1891

The internal rate was reduced to $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ in 1891. A provisional was issued at first rather than ordering a new definitive from London. This was done by printing a heavy black
 government printer, Borckenhagen, probably did this although some writers credit it to De La Rue. The earliest date reported is August 7, 1891 ${ }^{2}$.

All copies show the same type flaws, breaks in the loop of the 'd' and nick in the bottom of the stop. This proves they were printed 1-up. De La Rue would have printed them before guillotining the multiple, so this was done locally.

[^19]One example has been reported with no stop, apparently casual poor inking. One example is known with double surcharge, one inverted and another with triple surcharge, one inverted ${ }^{12}$. The inverts of course appear with the "stamp" on the bottom left of the card as shown in the following illustration..

Originally a forgery of this overprint was believed to exist, residing in the Bartshe Collection. However, a subsequent discovery of an identical card in the Smith collection has led the authors to believe that these are essays. Viewing the surcharge in question shown at right, would point to the inadequacy of its small size, the larger type being chosen. Both examples show a heavy indentation of the surcharge type that could only
 occur from using a commercial press, which argues against being a forgery.

½d provisional on de la Rue 1884 1d
Triple, two upright, one inverted

[^20]

Color Variation, from pale to deep carmine.

A halfpenny definitive card was not brought into service until 1898, the needs having been met with the locally produced provisionals. This definitive is identical to the 1d definitive, except for the stamp die, and was printed in carmine. Like the stamps, the postal cards were printed by De La Rue, from a multiple electrotype.


The color varied from a deep bright carmine to a rather pale carmine, as illustrated.
A proof is shown, dated 20 Jan 97, and stamped 'AFTER STRIKING'. Another proof is shown of the $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ stamp die dated February 18,1898 and endorsed "3 leads for P. Card Original".

The enquiry from the O.V.S. agents William Dunn was made on February 10, 1898, and the order placed for 100,000 cards on February 16. The cards were shipped in May 1898, and a subsequent order of 100,000 cards in July 1899. ${ }^{1}$ The earliest known date is August 18, 1898.

## Reply Paid Cards, 1898

20,000 1d and 100,000 1/2d reply cards sets were ordered from De La Rue in January, 1897 and shipped on April 28, 1997. Oddly, they apparently were not put into service until January 1898. Apparently the only case where the order was placed early enough to have the material on hand when the law went into effect. Earliest known dates are January 2, 1898 for the $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ and January 22, 1898 for the 1 d .

They were of a larger size than the single cards, being $145 \times 90 \mathrm{~mm}$.

[^21]

Half Penny Reply Card Set
Main and Reply


## One Penny Reply Set

## Main and Reply

# Chapter 11, Postal Stationery 

## Local Provisional Postal Cards, 1889-1999

## BRIEF



KAART.

## dan

$$
\mathscr{G}
$$

Numerous provisional postcards of various values were printed by Borckenhagen between 1889 and 1897. All were individually printed in the size approximately $110 \mathrm{~mm} \times 71$ mm for the bordered cards and $114 \mathrm{~mm} \times 76 \mathrm{~mm}$ for the un-bordered. All were made by affixing a stamp to the top center of the card before printing. The print forme included a coat of arms of the O.V.S. set so that it pre-cancelled the stamp.

At least five different dies were used over this period and are illustrated in Figs. 11.1 to 11.5 . Traditionally the sharp bottomed Die 4A has been considered a variety of the blunt bottomed Die 4, but this seems unlikely, and as such is most probably a separate die. An easy identification key to these dies is presented in Figure 11.7.

No explanation has been offered as to why there were 5 or more different dies. The dies generally, except with small flaws that develop during a particular printing, do not show signs of deterioration. They were also used for other purposes, such as headings of legal documents and forms as shown from 1879 and 1897 documents (Figs 11.9 \& 11.10), and seemingly were damaged then. Since all of the dies show the wagon wrongly as having two shafts instead of a single disselboom (wagon tongue), they were apparently not locally made. They bear a strong resemblance to the arms on the definitive revenues, which also show two shafts, but are much cruder. Perhaps made by an Englishman in Cape Town working from the Revenues?

In addition to the above 5 or 6 dies, postcards are found with the seal of the O.V.S. replaced with the advertising logo of the 'Bloemfontein Express'. This logo looks
superficially like the O.V.S arms types without the flags, but closer examination of it shows a rider and the slogan "EXPRESS/ THE NEWS OF THE WORLD/ BLOEMFONTEIN". It is illustrated in Fig. 11.6. Over the years this has occasioned a good bit of conversation and speculation about faithless employees and surreptitious work, but the principle of Occam's razor says that it was just a mistake by the setter in using a wrong seal, since Borckenhagen also published the Bloemfontein Express, whose logo this was, see Fig. 11.11. It was apparently not immediately noticed, as used examples are known from Jagersfontein on November 13, 1894 and Boshof on November 14, 1894. A single stamp is known showing this seal inverted, obviously from a card with the stamp inverted. A total of 6 examples are recorded. This is just another example of the errors in Bloemfontein printing, which were ignored as long as the post office income did not suffer. All stamps/cards sent to the printer had to be returned to the treasury with the proper value clearly indicated.

There have been a number of systems to classify these provisional cards, including K. Pennycuik, Higgins and Gage and B\&M. These were all based on values and printings and are rather confusing. Recently Cheminais ${ }^{12}$ classified these by Setting and printing, which is much clearer. Our EKU Table 11.1 is based on this. The following identification key and illustrated listing (Fig 11.8 and the illustrations then following) follows this concept in general.

The sequence of the first four prints has been altered due to studies by Smith ${ }^{3}$ and one of us ${ }^{4}$.

## Varieties

Inverted stamps are known from a few Settings/Printings. Also, an example of the $1^{\text {st }}$ print of the $1 \frac{1}{2}$ d on 3d with a complete print inverted on the back of the card, i.e. Setting 10. Furthermore, numerous minor printing flaws are recorded herein. There was a myriad of card stocks used at various times in the printing of these provisional cards.

[^22]| Table 11.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earliest Known Uses, Postal Cards |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Setting \# | Setting | Die | Value | Paper | EKU/M/D/Y |
|  |  |  | 1d |  | 3/24/84 |
|  |  |  | 1/2d on 1d |  | 8/7/91 |
|  |  |  | 1/2d reply |  | 1/2/98 |
|  |  |  | 1d reply |  | 1/22/98 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1d | White | 2/7/89 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 1d | Rosy Granite | 3/28/89 |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 1d | White | 8/2/89 |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 1d | Rosy Granite | 4/27/89 |
| 4 | 4 | 2 | 1d | Gray | 6/25/89 |
| 4 | 4 | 2 | 1d | Blue Granite | 7/8/89 |
| 4 | 4 | 2 | 1d | White | 8/24/89 |
| 5 | 5 | 2 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 8/22/91 |
| 6 | 6A | 3 | 1d |  | 6/27/92 |
| 6 | 6A | 3 | 1/2d |  | 6/4/92 |
| 7 | 6B | 3 | 1d |  | 6/4/92 |
| 7 | 6B | 3 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 9/14/92 |
| 8 | 6 C | 3 | 1d |  | 6/16/92 |
| 8 | 6C | 3 | 1/2d |  | 9/28/92 |
| 9 | 6D | 3 | 1 d |  | 5/28/92 |
| 9 | 6D | 3 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 11/12/92 |
| 10 | 7 | 2 | $11 / 2$ on 3d |  | 9/12/92 |
| 10 | 7 | 2 | $11 / 2$ on 2 d |  | 9/9/92 |
| 11 | 8 | 2 | 1/2d |  | 12/14/92 |
| 12 | 9 | 2 | 1/2d |  | 5/9/93 |
| 13 | 10 | 2 | $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 4/10/93 |
| 14 | 11 | 4 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 1/24/94 |
| 15 | 12 |  | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 10/2/94 |
| 16 | 13 | 4 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 1/18/95 |
| 17 | 14 | 4A | 1/2d |  | 4/24/95 |
| 17 | 14 | 4A | $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 6/3/95 |
| 17 | 14 | 4A | $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  |  |
| 17 | 14 | 4A | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 4/28/96 |
| 18A | 15A | 5 | 1/2d |  | 7/20/95 |
| 18B | 15B | 5 | $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 8/26/95 |
| 18C | 15C | 5 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 10/2/95 |
| 19 | 16A | 5 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 10/28/95 |
| 19 | 16A | 5 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 2/3/97 |
| 20 | 16B | 5 | 11/2d |  | 7/4/97 |
| 21 | 16C | 5 | $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 8/16/97 |
| 22 | 17 | 4 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 4/24/97 |

## Die Types



Fig. 11.1
Die 1


Fig. 11.2 Die 2


Fig. 11.3 Die 3


Fig. 11.4
Die 4, blunt


Fig. 11.5
Die 5


Fig. 11.4a
Type 4A, sharp


Fig. 11.6
Bloemfontein Express

Fig. 11.7, Key to Die Types


Fig. 11.8 Key to Provisional Cards


## Key to Provisional Cards, cont'd.




Fig 11.9
From an 1879 document


Fig 11.10
From an 1897 document

NEMENTS COURANT, VRIJDAG, 5 MEL 1899.

(Vroeger C. Fiscimer)s

## Handelaren in Horloges, Klokken en Juwelierswaren,

Hebben de grootste en meest uitgelezen voorrand Ferste-klas Waren in den Vrijstant.

Speciale bestellingen van Juwelierswaren, in welke vorm ook worden in de kortst mogelike tijd op de plek zelf uitgevoerd.

Diamant zetten en Graveeren een Specialiteit. Repeaters, Tijdmerkers en Degelijke Horloges zorgvuldig hersteld en in crde gebracht door bedreven vakmannen tegen matige prijzen.

Brillen van elke beschrijving. Speciale aandacht wordt geschonken aan de voorschriften van oogdokters.

## C. BORCKENHAGEN \& CO.,

Horlogemakers, Juweliers en Ooglandigen, Mutwal Gebouwen, Bloemfontein.

Fig 11.11 Borckenhagen Advertisement showing his Advertising Logo.

Fig. 11.12, Essay
1d on $1 / 2 d$ on Setting 5 cards


Fig. 11.12 1d on $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ Essay 1


Examination of the above 4 examples above shows:

1. The 1 d overprint was applied separately from the Seal.
2. The 1 d overprints are not all the same font.
3. The 1 d overprints were applied by hand, as shown by the varying locations and angles.

Conclusion: These were essays of an abandoned plan to up-rate the half penny to 1 d value. It is probable that the $\underline{1 \mathrm{~d}}$ was applied to some cards of the $5^{\text {th }}$ Setting, to accommodate the coming rate of 1 d . Apparently it was decided that up-rating was undesirable, So in the $7^{\text {th }}$ Setting the original postal provisional 1d on 3d was used.

Fig 11.13 Mystery Card


1d on 3d Mystery Card
Arms Die 3


For over 70 years, since Leon de Raay first described this item in his 1923 work, many have searched to locate another example. Recent work has now debunked this card as a fabrication. The overprint on the stamp is Die 3 from the May 1892 printing of the 1d on 3d provisional card while the card itself is Die 2 from the 9th Setting, 4th printing of the, $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ final state. But since $1 d$ was the correct rate, it appears that this stamp was on this card in the mail stream.

Arrows indicate where black ink has been drawn to connect the separate dies.
Is this an "improvement" of a cover by de Raay?
From other items, it is known that the original card was from L. Broderick of the National Bank of the Orange Free State to the Natal Bank.


The first 4 provisional 1d postcards all had the 1d stamp sideways, and a border composed of alternating $\underline{W}$ and $\underline{M s}$, with an $\underline{\mathrm{O}}$ at the corners. Setting 1, at the three places marked, has the sequence broken, with 2 like letters next to one another (Above left).
Frame width is $106 \times 71 \mathrm{~mm}$ versus the $110 \times 71 \mathrm{~mm}$ for the other three bordered cards. The $\underline{T}$ of $\underline{T e}$ has no right loop (above center), and the RT of KAART are close together. The TR $\underline{\mathrm{O}}$ is broken (above right). This is a very scarce card, implying a very small print run.


1 st setting provisional 1 d , twice life-size.

## Setting 2

| Duty | 1 d |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | March 1889, |
| Die | 2 |
| Address | Dots |
| Corner $\underline{\mathrm{O}}$ | Horizontal |
| BL letter | $\underline{\mathrm{M}}$ |
| Stop | Round |
| Color | White, granite |
| 1st 1d, <br> Granite <br> 2nd 1d, <br> White | 28 Mar 89 |



Setting 2 is best identified by the missing dots in the 1 st address line at $\# 7$ and 18 , the 2 nd line at $\# 25$ from the right, and the 4th line at \#2 and 6.
It appears that there are really only two colors, Granite and White. Printing order not definite, but assumed from EKUs.

## Setting 3

| Duty | 1 d |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | April 1889 |
| Die | 2 |
| Address | Dots |
| Corner $\underline{\mathrm{O}}$ | Horizontal |
| BL letter | $\underline{\mathrm{M}}$ |
| Stop | Vertical Oval |
| Color | EKU. |
| Granite | 27 Apr 89 |
| White | $?$ Apr 89 |



1d rosy granite


Oval Dot and close RT


BL letter is $\underline{M}$


Setting 3 is most easily identified by the vertical oval stop and the BL letter being $\underline{M}$. The $11^{\text {th }}$ letter down on the left is larger than the rest, and the letters RT are again close. On the second address line, dot \#33 is missing.

## Setting 4

Duty 1 d

| Date | March 1889 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Die | 2 |
| Address | Dots |


| Corner $\underline{\mathrm{O}}$ | Horizontal |
| :--- | :--- |
| BL letter | $\underline{\mathrm{M}}$ |
| Stop | Round |
| Color | EKU |
| 1st 1d, Granite | 25 Jun 89 |
| 2nd 1d, Gray | 24 Aug 89 |
| 3rd 1d, White | 8 Jul 89 |



Early state BL corner



Late state BL corner

Setting 4 had few characteristics. The $28^{\text {th }}$ dot in the first line is weak, sometimes missing. The BL corner of the frame had problems. As the print went on, the line drooped down here and sometimes the bottom frame line printed poorly. Bottom border two Ms together at 31 and 32 from left. It would also seem that the BL corner of the form was initially set with the weakness and was corrected after printing the granite and gray cards. Upon strengthening the forme, damage to the UR and L frames occurred showing up as breaks in the outer frame line. Here the EKU's are somewhat misleading.

## Setting 5

Duty $\quad 1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ Chestnut
Date August 1891
Die 2
Address Ruled R1
K.P. $\quad 7$

B\&M $1 / 2 d, 1^{\text {st }}$
EKU 22 Aug 91

## BRIEF



KAART

## Aasy




## Setting 6

| Duty | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{~d} / 3 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | May 1892 |
| Die | 3 |
| Address | Dotted |
| K.P. | $8,11 \mathrm{a}$ |
| B\&M | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d} 2^{\text {nd }}$, |
|  | $1 \mathrm{~d} 5^{\text {th }}$ |
| Value | EKU |
| 1 d | 27 Jun 92 |
| $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 17 Sep 92 |

## BRIEF



KAART.

2 d


AAClub foot BL 1st A of KAART


Dropped first dot first line


Break 2nd a of Aan


Late state broken I

A completely new setting. The first of 4 prints of the same basic Setting which are distinguished by resetting variations in the address lines and deterioration of the letters.
Line 1,1 st dot low. Line 3 is short at left end. Later, the $\underline{B}, \underline{R}$, and $\underline{T}$ weakened.
Now Arms Die 3, and dotted address lines. Stop after KAART. 1st $\underline{A}$ has club foot, both $\underline{\text { A's shorter. }}$
Early in the printing of the 1d the base of the second a in Aan shows a break.
One example known of an inverted stamp.



Address lines again reset. Line 2 is short at the left and the last dot in line 4 is raised. Short TL serif $\underline{R}$ of Brief Short BL serif $\underline{I}$ and the $\underline{I}$ also became broken in the middle on the $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$. Weak L serifs $\underline{\mathrm{R}}$ and a break in the L arm of the $\underline{T}$. The stop after KAART is a horizontal oval, instead of round as on the previous cards. The 1 d is known inverted, see right.


Chapter 11, Local Post Cards


Raised last dot address line 1 and short line 3 at left.
Top of $\underline{B}$ broken or weak. Curl of $\underline{R}$ weak or gone. 1st $\underline{A}$ is the short club footed type piece. $\underline{R}$ has breaks in the top and middle strokes later, and bottom serif T weak.

## Setting 10

| Duty | $11 / 2 d$ on 3d blue, <br> then on 2d mauve |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | Sept/Oct 1892 |

Die 2

Address none
K.P. $\quad 13,15$

B\&M $\quad 11 / 2 d / 2 d$ first $11 / 2 d / 3 d$ second

Value EKU
1st $1 / 2 / 3 d \quad 12$ Sep 92
2nd 1½/2d 24 Dec 92


Surcharge detail


Early state of UL serif I


Late state of UL serif I

A completely new setting. BRIEF KAART is now a heavier type, and Arms Die 2 reappears. Arms 3 may have been damaged in Setting 9. ORANJE VRIJSTAAT. below in small letters. Whole overprint dropped to allow the $\underline{11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}}$ to be placed above. Probably the reason for eliminating the address lines. Value has Roman $\underline{I}$ and antique 2 . Left top serif of 'I' weak and sometimes absent.

## Setting 11

| Duty | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | December 1892 |
| Die | 2 |
| Address | lined |
| K.P. | 9 |
| B\&M | $1 / 2 d$ 3rd |
| EKU | 14 Dec 92 |




Break in R flag


Printing freak misplaced overprint and stamp

Now the $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ and the words ORANJE VRIJSTAAT were removed and address lines reinstated. During the re-setting, there was damage to the right flag, causing a white break at the outer edge.
Light printing/inking, in some cases, gives the appearance of weak and broken letters, but not constant.

Setting 12

| Duty | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | May 1893 |
| Die | 2 |
| Address | lined |
| K.P. | 10 |
| B\&M | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}^{\text {th }}$ |
| EKU | 9 May 93 |




Early state damage $\underline{R}$ of BRIEF


Later state damage $\underline{R}$ of BRIEF Wide space $\underline{B} \underline{R}$


Hollow stop $\underline{T}$


New larger Te

A completely new setting. The overall length is now 92.5 mm instead of 91 mm , and the Aan and Te are of a larger font. The base of the $\underline{B}$ has a break. Most noticeably, the bottom right serif of the $\underline{R}$ is broken and appears in various states, finally the tail is gone and BR are widely spaced. Also, the stop after KAART becomes hollow as printing progresses. It also seems the $\underline{B}$ is a little loose and can show some movement.

## Setting 13

| Duty | $1 ½$ d on 2 d |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | September 1893 |
| Die | 2 |
| Address | None |




Early state RT


Late state RT


Surcharge detail


Early state BRI


Late state BRI

K.P. 14 a

B\&M $\quad 11 / 2 d 3^{\text {rd }}$
EKU 10 Apr 93


For this $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$, again the value and ORNAJE VRIJSTAAT were removed and address lines re-instated.
This retained the broken bottom $\underline{B}$, broken serif $\underline{R}$ and the hollow stop. The right bottom serif $\underline{I}$ is now weak or missing. Most characteristic is the missing right loop of the $\underline{T}$ of $\underline{T e}$. The right side of the $\underline{e}$ of $\underline{T e}$ is weak. Occasionally the whole lower section of the Te fails to print. Known also with no stop and weak RT, perhaps faulty inking. The B seems loose, and sometimes seen raised.
Doubles are known. (See magnified insert.)

Setting 15
Duty $\quad 1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$
Date October 1894
Die
Bloemfontein Express

Address
Lines
K.P.

B\&M
EKU
2 Oct 94


This card uses the die of the logo of "The Bloemfontein Express" newspaper, shown here enlarged from the card on the left and from an ad on the right.. Otherwise, it is the same as No. 14, with the broken bottom $\underline{B}$, broken serif $\underline{R}$, the hollow stop, the right bottom serif $\underline{I}$ is weak and the right loop of the $\underline{T}$ of $\underline{T e}$ is missing .


Te very weak

For this issue, the broken bottom $\underline{B}$ and the hollow stop have been replaced. The broken foot $\underline{R}$, weak right bottom serif $\underline{I}$ and broken loop Te remain. The top left serif $\underline{K}$ is now weak.
The length of BRIEF KAART is a bit longer at 93 mm .

Setting 17 Initial State

| Duty | $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ and $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | March 1895 |
| Die | 4 A sharp |
| Address | Lined |
| K.P. | $16 \mathrm{a}, 17 \mathrm{a}, 17 \mathrm{~b}$ |
| B\&M | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d} 7^{\text {th }}$ <br> $11 / 2 d 4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Value | EKU |
| $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ in red | 3 Jun 95 |
| 1 st $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 24 Apr 95 |




Break Base B


RT very close


Notch stem T No BR serif $\underline{T}$


Missing loop $\underline{T}$


Weakness rightt serifs I and left top serif E in BRIEF. Loose type.

The form has been reset. The broken $\underline{R}$ of Brief was replaced. In the process, the $\underline{T}$ was moved left hard up against the $\underline{R}$. The $\underline{B}$ was replaced, but the new $\underline{B}$ also had a break in the bottom, but closer to the upright stroke. The left top serif of the $\underline{E}$ is missing or weak. Early on, the $\underline{T}$ loses its BR serif and develops a notch in the stem.
The Te is missing the loop and the weak e remains. The address lines print weakly, especially the right end of line 1 and the left end of line 2. The lines became nicked from line 2 to line 4, a vertical gash.
The Arms are Die 4A, with a sharp point. No explanation is available as to how this occurred after the Die 4 with dull point unless there were actually two separate dies.
It appears that the two values were not printed strictly in order. Probably some of the $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$, the $1 / 1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$, then the rest of $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$.
The $\underline{11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}}$. in red, 10 mm , was a separate operation.

Setting 17
Final State

| Duty | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date |  |
| Die | 4, blunt |
| Address | Lined |
| K.P. | $18 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ |
| B\&M | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d} 5^{\text {th }}$ |
| EKU | 28 Apr 96 |

2nd $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$


During the $14^{\text {th }}$ Setting, considerable damage occurred. This card is the final state. The order seems to be: 1. Weak or damaged top loop $\underline{B}$ and serifs $\underline{I}, \underline{K}$ and $\underline{E}$. 2. A vertical gouge occurs through all four address lines, and another single gouge in the 2 nd line. 3 . Serious damage to the $\underline{K}$. Finally 4 , damage to the 2 nd $\underline{A}$. A darker color card stock, at least after aging.

final state
K badly damaged

final state 2 nd $\underline{\text { A }}$ in KAART


Forgery, different stamp added Stamp on top of cancel!

Damage to address lines and Broken top $\underline{\text { A in Aan }}$

Setting 18A, 1 st $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$

| Duty | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | July 1895 |
| Die | 5 |
| Address | Lined |
| K.P. | 20 |
| B\&M | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d} 8^{\text {th }}$ |
| EKU | 20 Jul 95 |




Surcharge detail


TL serif $\underline{K}$ and cernter arm bkn


Break TL arm $\underline{T}$ and split

BR weak with no TL serif $\underline{B}$ Spacer between B R


Setting 18B, $11 / 2 d$

| Duty | $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Date | August 1895 |
| Die | 5 |
| Address | Lined |
| K.P. | 23 |
| B\&M | $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d} 5^{\text {th }}$ |
| EKU | 26 Aug 95 |
|  |  |
| 1112d surc | e detail |



Only change to 18 A is adding a very thick 1 to the surcharge and re-centering the value. The earlier varieties of 18 A re-occur. Further weakness in the center stroke of both R's.


For this $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ card, the value was removed and the whole overprint moved up. The varieties of the previous card remain, and now the left serifs of the first $\underline{R}$ are very weak.
The date given in the literature for the printing is October, but a Sept 13 postmark proves earlier. The above card postmarked in Smithfield on September 13 proves a September printing.


Very weak $\underline{B}$ and $\underline{R}$.

## Setting 19

| Duty | $1 / 2 d$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | October 1895 <br> February 1897 |
| Die | 5 |
| Address | Lined |
| K.P. | 22 |
| B\&M | $1 / 2 d 10^{\text {th }}$ (chestnut) |
| Color | EKU |
| 1st $1 / 2 d$ Chestnut | 28 Oct $95-19$ |
| 2nd $1 / 2 d$ Orange | 3 Feb $97-19 a$ |

BRIEF

dan $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Ge $\qquad$


No top serif B.

Setting 19A
Duty $\quad 1 / 2 d$

| Date | October 1895 <br> February 1897 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Die | 5 |
| Address | Lined |
| K.P. | 22 |
| B\&M | $1 / 2 d 10^{\text {th }}$, orange |
| Color | EKU |
| 1st $1 / 2$ d Yellow | 28 Oct $95-19$ |
| 2nd $1 / 2$ d Orange | 3 Feb $97-19 \mathrm{a}$ |



Right loop of Te gone.

This is a color variant of Setting 19, the $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ yellow, not orange. Right loop of Te missing. All examples in 1897 (Setting 19A) use the orange-yellow $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ stamp.

Setting 20

| Duty | $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | March(?) <br> 1897 |
| Die | 5 |
| Address | Lined |
| K.P. | xx |
| B\&M | $11 / 26^{\text {th }}$ |
| EKU | 4 July 97 |



The forme from Setting 19 was modified by adding $\underline{11 / 2}$ (no d) above the die, and the whole imprint moved down. Varieties remained the same. However, before issue, it was decided to add the $\underline{d}$ by means of a hand stamp. As to be expected with a hand stamp, the positioning of this varies, and is known doubled, see magnified insert.

Setting 21

| Duty | $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | June(?) 1897 |
| Die | 5 |
| Address | Lined |
| K.P. | 24 a |
| B\&M | $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d} 7^{\text {th }}$ |
| EKU | 16 Aug 97 |



Setting 21 EKU 16 Aug 97.tif


Early in print, no worn tops


Later in Print, worn tops

After the unsatisfactory results of Setting 20, the form was reset with $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$. for Setting 21. The date is stated to be June, but the earliest known usage is August 16, 1897.
More wear is evident and late in the printing the tops of BR of BRIEF weakened, followed later by the tops of $\underline{R T}$ of KAART. The right loop of $\underline{T}$ of Te is still missing.
Few are known used.

## Setting 22

| Duty | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ orange |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | May 1897 |
| Die | 4, blunt |
| Address | Lined |
| K.P. | 19 |
| B\&M | $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}, 11^{\text {th }}$ |
| EKU | 24 Apr 97 |




Replaced $\underline{B} \& \underline{R}$


Damage both serifs T

This Setting 22, the last of the O.V.S. provisional post cards, is easily identified by the yellow-orange stamp combined with Die 2 Arms. The $\underline{B}$ and $\underline{R}$ are now normal with all serifs fully printing, but the top of $\underline{T}$ is still weak.

## Chapter 12, The Occupation Postal Cards

The captured O.V.S. postal cards, both the London printed definitives and the $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$ provisionals, were overprinted by the occupation forces with V.R.I. and the value, except for the $1 \frac{1}{2} d$ value, which already had a printed value, so needed only the V.R.I. There were two groups of Settings, one with the normal serif font used in the Postage overprints and the other with a thick sans-serif font.

## A. The V.R.I. with Serifs

The overall characteristics of the four serif Settings are given in Table 12 .1.

| Table 12.1 <br> Serif Occupation Postal Card Settings - Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Sett- } \\ \text { ing } \end{array}$ | Date | V | Serif | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Stops } \\ & \text { V.R.I. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Stop } \\ \underline{\mathrm{d}} \end{gathered}$ | Length | Space | EKU | Other |
| 1 | 3/00 | Thick | Y | Level | Y | 12.5 mm | 7 mm | 25 March | Thick V |
| 2 | 4/00 | Thin | Y | Raised | No | 12.5 mm | 9 mm | 15 April |  |
| 3 | 5/00 | Thin | Y | Level | No | 12.5 mm | 9 mm | 9 May |  |
| 4 | 6/00 | Thin | Y | Level | No | 12.5 | 9 mm | 26 June | Broken serifs V |

Space
Measurement
Bottom of $\underline{R}$ to top of d.


## $1^{\text {st }}$ Setting



The $1^{\text {st }}$ Setting was used to overprint the most needed values of the postal cards, namely the $1 / 2 d$ carmine the 1 d orange single cards, and the $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ green and 1 d brown reply-paid cards. The $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ on 2 d mauve has been reported in this Setting, but apparently in error.

The type, as was the case for all of Group A settings, was of the normal V.R.I. overprint type, and in this setting, the $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$ was of the 'Thick' variety, see Figs. 12.1 and 12.2 for the $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ and 1 d values, respectively.

The type is sharp and new looking. The level stops are similar to the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ V.R.I. Postage Settings. They are vertical ovals, extending down slightly below the level of the letters. The $\underline{V}$ sometimes seems to lean a little to the left.

No Varieties have been reported. Must have been issued in March, not April, as the earliest known usage of the 1 d is March $25,1900^{1}$. The earliest known usage of the $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ is April 2,1900 ${ }^{2}$, confirming a March issue date.

[^23]
## 2nd Setting



The $2^{\text {nd }}$ Setting was used to overprint the $1 \frac{1}{2}$ d on 2 d O.V.S. provisional cards and also the two reply-paid cards. No single $1 / 2 d$ or 1d cards were done in this Setting.

The $1 \frac{1}{2} d$ cards were of two types. The first sort had been printed without a $\underline{d}$ or stop, and a d had later been inserted. This was apparently applied by hand. This is illustrated in Fig. 12.3 and the base card is Setting 20.

The second sort used the base card Setting 21, with $\underline{d}$ and stop present. The card is illustrated in Fig. 12.4.

The $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ reply-paid card is shown in Fig. 12.5 and the 1d in Fig. 12.6.
The type was of the same font as the $1^{\text {st }}$ Setting but with a thin $\underline{V}$ and no stop after the d. This is the only postal card setting with raised stops. The spacing is now wider at 9 mm .

Reportedly issued in April 1900, with EKU April 15, 1900.

## $3^{\text {rd }}$ Setting



The only difference for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Setting from the $2^{\text {nd }}$ is the level stops instead of raised. It was used in May for all of the cards, $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ (Fig. 12.7) and 1 d (Fig. 12.8) single and reply-paid cards and $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ card (Fig. 12.9), both with and without a stop after the $\underline{d}$.

## $4^{\text {th }}$ Setting



Setting 4 was used in June for all the cards. That is the $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ and 1 d values of the ordinary cards, the reply cards and the $1 \frac{1}{2}$ d. It used the same type setting as Setting 3, but the $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$ used had damage to both top serifs (Fig. 12.10). Also, the numeral $\underline{1}$ had lost the bottom left serif.

Overprints with Serifs
$1^{\text {st }}$ Setting


Fig. 12.1


Fig. 12.2
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Setting


Fig. 12.3


Fig. 12.4


Fig. 12.5


Fig.
12.6


Fig. 12.10 Hurt Serifs V

## B. The V.R.I. without Serifs



The cards printed without serifs have been much less well understood. However, a recent study by the authors of a large mass of these cards has clarified the matter considerably. At first sight, there are an unending series of permutations of spacing, width, letter flaws, etc. But there is order to it.

The printer began by composing the V.R.I. He then added the value, took a batch of cards, and ran off a printing of that value. He continued in this manner. Each time he changed the value there was a chance of changing the vertical separation from the V.R.I. On the reply-paid cards, he apparently printed the front of the batch first and the reply section last. This continued, occasionally changing a damaged stop, until the V.R.I. was no longer usable. The process was then repeated with a new set of letters.

This all seems to have been done in one long effort. The earliest known usages are in July and August 1900.

The cards may be quickly divided into those with a 'wide' V.R.I. and those with a 'narrow' V.R.I. Measured from the center of the $\underline{\mathrm{V}}$ to the center of the $\underline{\mathrm{I}}$, the 'wide' measures approximately 8.7 mm and the 'narrow' 7.5 mm . The 'wide' was composed with thin spacers
between the letters, and the 'narrow' without these spacers. Although these values vary somewhat, there is no overlap and clearly define two separate groups.

Another aid to grouping is the presence of a large stop after the $\underline{I}$ through a long series.
The space between the V.R.I. and the value, measured from the bottom of the letters, especially the $\underline{I}$, to the top of the $\underline{d}$ is also of use after the main sorting into 'wide' and 'narrow'.

A most valuable aid to sorting is the existence of a small number of 'Transition' replypaid cards, where the overprints on the front and reply sections are different.

There are also a number of varieties that aid in the sorting. Each is identified and illustrated in Table 12.2 of the various Series and Printings of these sans serif cards. This is a rather complicated area, and no simpler way to present it has been found.

Since this was apparently one long effort, it is not possible to divide the cards neatly into Settings, so the Table is based on 5 'Series' where the sequence of printings within each Series is now reasonably well established. The sequence of the various Series themselves is not known, except that either Series A or Series D came first as these are the only two with all sharp letters and square stops. Hopefully more transition reply cards will be found to establish the order.

## Abbreviations used:

- Column $1 \quad$ Space $=$ Distance from bottom of V.R.I. to top of $\underline{d}$.
- Column $2 \quad W=$ Width of $\underline{V} . R . I .=$ distance from center of $\underline{V}$ to center of $\underline{I}$.
- Column 3 Letters = Condition of the letters, sharp, slightly worn, etc.
- Column 4 Stops = Condition of the stops, how badly worn.
- Columns 5-10 Condition of individual letters
- EKU = Earliest known usage.
- sl = slight
- vs = very slight.
- med = medium wear
- sq = square


## Major Varieties



The misplaced OP was done first, with wide setting and 9.8 mm spaced, which is Series A. The correctly placed OP is the narrow setting and 11.5 mm spaced (Series B) on both halves of the card. A strange occurrence.


This is a normal misplaced OP \#13 of Series C1, note the cap on the stop after $\underline{R}$.


An unusual pair of double imprints on both halves of a 1d reply paid card.
Close examination shows that the two overprints on each side are different, with rather different stops. So it is not a case of two successive press closings. Rather, it seems that the card was completely printed top and back. Then somehow it got mixed into another batch which was then printed top and back.

This indicates that the printing was probably done a single case at a time, front and back. Then another case would be opened and dealt with.

This procedure makes perfect sense from a control point of view.

## Table 12.2, Sans Serif V.R.I. Cards

## Series A, New Setting- Wide, 9.2-9.8 mm

\#1

EKU 12 Sep 00
Spce
9.2- W Sharp sq
9.7
\#2
EKU 24 Aug 00
Spce W Letter

| $9.3-8$ | W | sl-v | sl-v |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.8 |  | worn | wor |


| Spce | W | Letters | Stop |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $9.2-$ | W | Sharp | sq |
| 9.7 |  |  |  |

l-v
worn

Probably the 1st Setting.
½d Reply, Wide, 9.3-9.8 mm
tops V
$1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ Single, Wide, Sharp, 9.2-9.8 mm
ops V R
  R I I dash to ghost


Series B1, 10-10.5 Space
\#3

EKU 6 Aug 00

| Spce | W | Letters | Stops | V | R | I | d | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.5 | W | sharp | sq, sme <br> sl brkn |  |  |  | brkn |  |  |

\#4
1d Single, Wide, 10-10.3 mm


EKU30 Aug 00

| Spce | W | Letters | Stops | V | R | I <br> 10 | W | sl-med <br> worn | sl-med <br> worn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | brkn stop <br> taper |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |

Series B2, New stops

| \#5 | Transition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EKU | 1d Reply, Wide, 10-10.1 mm New stops on Reply |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spce | W | Letters | Stops | V | R | I | d | 1 | 2 |
| 10.1 | W | med | v worn |  |  | weak |  | thick |  |
| 10 | W | sl-med | sq, new |  |  | weak |  | thick |  |



Series B3, Thin $\underline{1}$

| \#6 | Transition |
| :--- | :---: |
| EKU | 1d Reply, Wide 10.3 mm |
| Thick 1 to thin 1 |  |


| Spce | W | Letters | Stops | V | R | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.3 | W | worn | v worn | brkn | brkn | brkn, taper |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.3 | W | worn | v worn | brkn | brkn | brkn, taper |

 short
\#7
EKU 30 Sep 00

1d Reply, Wide, 10-10.5 mm
A large printing

| Spce | W | Letters | Stops | V | R | I | d | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10-$ | W | worn | v worn |  | some | brkn to |  | thin, |  |
| 10.5 |  |  |  |  | crack loop | ghost stop |  | short |  |

## Series C1, New sharp setting


\#9
EKU 1Dec 00

1d Reply, Wide, Large Stop I
$10.2-10.3 \mathrm{~mm}$


EKU Sep 00

| Spce | W | Letters | Stops | V | R | I | d | 1 | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9.5-$ | W | sharp- | sq- |  |  | $\lg$ stop | dash |  |  |  |
| 9.7 |  | sl worn | sl worn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\#13 1/2d Reply, Wide, Large Stop $\underline{\text { I }}$

EKU
$9.5-9.7$ mm


## Series C2, continued with no large stop $\underline{I}$

\#15 1⁄2d Reply, Wide Cap on stop $\underline{R}$
EKU

| Spce | W | Letters | Stops | V | R | I | $d$ | 1 | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9.0-$ | W | sl worn | med | brkn stop <br> Lg? | cap on <br> stop | I hurt BR | dash |  |  |  |
| 9.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\#16
EKU 17 Aug 00
½d Single, Wide
$9.0-9.5 \mathrm{~mm}$

| Spce | W | Letters | Stops | V | R | I | d | 1 | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9.0-$ | W | med- | med to | brkn to | worn to | worn-brkn | worn <br> dash |  |  |  |

\#17
EKU 31 May 01
$9.7-9.8 \mathrm{~mm}$
6 known, 3 on Transition cards


## Series C3. Transition to Narrow



## Series D. Reset with new letters \& stops, but same spacing.

½d Single, Narrow, Lg stop R \& I
EKU 31 Mar 01

| Spce | W | Letters | Stops | V | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.3 | N | sl to | sl worn - | no stop | lg stop |
| - |  | med worn | brkn | ghost <br> brkn | some brkn <br> some rnd <br> 11.5 |
|  |  |  |  | t\&b |  |


| I | d |
| :---: | :---: |
| ok-brkn <br> taper | some fat <br> dash <br> some <br> taper |



New stops, Hurt $\underline{2}$
A large printing, deteriorating over time

| Space | W | Letters | Stops | V | R | I | d | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9.2-9.5$ | N | sl worn | med <br> worn | worn- <br> brkn | worn <br> rnd t\&b |  |  |  | foot hurt |
| 9.4 | N | med- v <br> worn | worn | brkn | brkn | worn |  | foot brkn off |  |

## Series E, Narrow, 13.5mm

\#23a, b $\quad 1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ Single, Narrow, 13.5 mm Space
EKU 29 Aug 02

$11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ Single, Narrow, no stop

Both Rare, Belong somewhere in this sub-group

| card | Spce | W | Letters | Stops V |  | R | I | d | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/2d S | 13.5 | N | med <br> worn | v worn |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rnd t\&b } \\ & \text { dash } \end{aligned}$ | bent I | dash <br> short? |  |  |
| $11 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | NA | N | med <br> worn | worn brkn |  | sl rnd t\&b dash | hurt BR |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 23a |  | 23b |  |  |  |  |  |

## Chapter 13, ORC Overprint on CGH Post Cards

By the middle of 1900 it was apparent that the supply of O.V.S. post cards overprinted V.R.I. would be inadequate due to the great demand engendered by the huge masses of British troops and civilians now in the country. Chilton ${ }^{1}$ has found the correspondence ${ }^{2}$ relating to these cards. The sequence of events as given there is as follows:

## Batch 1.

1. June 23, 1900. The Bloemfontein Military Governor telegraphed to the Imperial Secretary in Cape Town stating "Also required speedily supply of single postcards stamped with penny stamp."
2. November 30, 1900. The acting Imperial Secretary asked the GPO at Cape Town to supply to Bloemfontein 10,000 single 1 d cards and 10,000 reply-paid 1 d cards, later amended to 800 dozen of each or 9,600 , as they were packed in dozens.
3. December 8,1900 . Tenders were sought for printing by this date.
4. Awarded to Richards \& Sons for $£ 4 / 17 / 6 d$, later amended on December 4 to $£ 5 / 10 / 0$ d due to the need for different formes for the two types of cards.
5. December 28, 1900. The Acting PMG told the Assistant Treasurer that the base cards supplied (seemingly the 1d brown) were unsatisfactory to overprint and requesting replacements.
6. December 31, 1900. The Treasury wrote the PMG saying that these cards had been exchanged for "international post cards overprinted 'One Penny". A note on this letter by Mr. Kay (PMG): "The single cards issued in this matter were the old $1 \frac{1}{2} d$ cards surcharged 1d."
7. Of the single cards, 57 were spoiled and 5 were lost. Of the reply-paid cards, reportedly 136 were spoiled and 21 lost. A make-up print of ' 62 single and 289 reply cards' was made which roughly, but not exactly, corresponds to the spoiled and lost cards.
8. January 12 to February 20, 1901. The cards were shipped to Bloemfontein.

## Batch 2

9. March 28, 1901. Deputy Administrator in Bloemfontein telegraphed the PMG in Cape Town, "Will you please send to Treasury here 10,000 1d postcards and $10,0001 d$ reply postcards as before for use in this colony as soon as possible. Present supply exhausted."
10. Richards \& Sons printed these in the amount of 9,600 each, plus 22 of each type for spoilage and loss.
11. May 28, 1901. Total amount was shipped to Bloemfontein.

## Batch 3.

12. November 6, 1901. Bloemfontein requests 10,000 single 1d cards in the same style. Four proofs of the first setting used in this batch have been recorded. Three are in the archive and Chilton shows photocopies of these. From these, cleaned up scans are derived which are

[^24]reproduced here as Figs. 13.1-3. In the Argyl Etkin sale of December 1999, a part proof was offered for sale. Except for being torn off at the left, it is absolutely identical with the proof shown as Fig. 13.2.

The first two proofs are identical. The second has the $\underline{1 d}+3$ bars and the O.R.C.' crossed out, but with the O.R.C. reinstated and bears the stamp of Richards and the date as $20 / 11 / 01$. The third and final proof is like the second proof with the $1 \mathrm{~d}+3$ bars left off, and has the PMG initials AK and some writing. The writing says: "The line obliterating 'Cap de Bonne Esperance' should be raised a little more, otherwise the words "Orange River Colony" will print over "Union Postal Universelle". This was done, reducing the distance from the bottom of the Orange to the top of the upper bottom bar from 9.6 mm to 9 mm and making other changes, creating Setting C.
13. December 23, 1901. 9,600 cards sent to Bloemfontein.
14. December 15, 1901. PMG Cape Town to Bloemfontein:
"Sir, adverting to your letter No 896 of the $6^{\text {th }}$ (November) requesting that you be supplied with 10,000 Cape Colony 1d post cards overprinted for use in the Orange River Colony, I have the honor to inform you that 9,600 cards, overprinted as desired, were sent forward to you on the $23^{\text {rd }}$ ultimo as advised in my telegram of the $27^{\text {th }}$ idem.
"As explained on a former occasion, to supply the exact number of cards applied for would necessitate the inconvenience of breaking a parcel. The course adopted on previous similar cases has, therefore, been followed in the present instance. Hence the supply of 9,600 instead of 10,000 cards.
"It will be observed that all the cards now supplied are not exactly the same as those overprinted on the two former occasions, inasmuch as the words "UNION POSTALE UNIVERSELLE" are in some cases in black instead of gray, and in some cases the words 'ORANGE RIVER COLONY' and 'POST CARD' appear under the deleted portions instead of above. These changes were found necessary owing to the stock of cards printed wholly in gray becoming exhausted and the space between the printing on the other cards not being sufficient to admit of the overprinted matter appertaining in the same position as on the cards first used.
"The total cost of the cards, including overprinting is $£ 6.6 .9 \mathrm{~d}$ and this amount is being claimed in the General Account between this Department and the Postmaster-General Bloemfontein, for the month of December."

## Batch 4

15. February 13 , 1902. Bloemfontein wrote and asked for 10,000 1d postcards. Richards \& Sons received the job of printing 9,600 cards.
16. April $17^{\text {th, }} 1902$. Cards shipped to Bloemfontein.

The overprint types and card types in each shipment are summarized later. This is based on the above information and dates on used cards.

This shows that the CGH authorities were not always accurate, as some of the cards with "UNION POSTALE UNIVERSALE" in black had been included in the first shipment. It is possible that some overprint Type A may have occurred in the early prints of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Shipment, and perhaps some Type D in the fourth shipment. A4 and D4 may not occur in the $1^{\text {st }}$ or $3^{\text {rd }}$ shipments.

## Single Post Cards



There were two basic 1d CGH cards involved. First one was printed with the words UNION POSTALE UNIVERSELLE in the base printing in slate gray. This was printed in anticipation of joining the UPU, but this did not happen. So another batch was printed and issued, without the UPU wording. These are here called the 'Gray' and 'Black' versions, respectively, see above.

When the CGH finally did join the UPU, the Gray cards were issued, and the Black cards were overprinted UNION POSTALE UNIVERSELLE at the top and reissued.
Since the rate had dropped to 1d, both the Gray and Black cards were then overprinted with the words "ONE PENNY" and with three bars obliterating the previous value. In both cases, some of the cards had a stop after the word "Penny" and some did not. Since the later proofs of the O.R.C. overprints included the One Penny overprint, WITH the stop, it is clear that there were at least two cliches made and one of these had a faulty stop.

Thus there were 4 different cards to be overprinted for the O.R.C. , here noted as types I, Grey no stop; II, Gray with stop; III, Black no stop and IVBlack with stop..

To provide cards to Bloemfontein, these four varieties of cards were then overprinted with ORANGE RIVER COLONY./POST CARD. with two pairs of bars and O.R.C. on the indicia. There were 5 distinct Settings of this overprint, Types A-E. It is possible that some overprint Type A may have occurred in the early prints of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ shipment, and perhaps some Type $D$ in the $4^{\text {th }}$ shipment. AIV and DIV may not occur in the $1^{\text {st }}$ or $3^{\text {rd }}$ shipments.

In various combinations of the above, 13 separate and distinct sorts of cards were produced. The Settings were used in the order shown, but the card types were obviously drawn randomly from the Treasury storage

The 5 Settings of the overprint are quite easy to determine, and the needed information is in Table 10.3. The first and most obvious distinction is whether the 'ORANGE RIVER

COLONY' is above the bars in the overprint (Settings A-C) or between the bars (Settings D and E).

Certain varieties are the easiest way to distinguish Settings A-C.
The O.R.C. of Setting D is noticeably smaller to the naked eye, even without measurement, and is the only identifying difference between Settings D and E. Boldface is used in the table to denote which characteristics are the primary ways to identify the Setting.

| Table 13.1 Settings A-E, Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Setting A | Setting B | Setting C | Setting D | Setting E |
| 'Orange River Colony' vs. bars | Above |  |  | Between |  |
| Bar No. 1 vs BarNo. 2 left end | Bar 1 Left | Bar 1 right | Bars even | Even | Even |
| Length of name, no stop | 50.8 | 52 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Size of 'O.R.C.' height x width | $2 \times 11.5$ | $2 \times 12.5$ | $2 \times 12.5$ | $1.5 \times 13$ | $2 \times 12.5$ |
| Bottom ORANGE to top of Bar No. 3 | 8.5 | 9.6 | 9.0 |  |  |
| Dropped small ' O ' Orange | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Weak stem $2^{\text {nd }}$ ' R ' River | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Short 'A' Orange |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Nick middle Rt leg ' N ' Orange |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Nick on top of crossbar ' $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ Post |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No top serif 'R' of Card |  |  | Yes |  |  |
| Worn inside bottom ' O ' Orange |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |


| Table 13.2, 1d Single Card Shipments, Overprint and Card Types |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lot | Shipment Date | Overprint/Card | Comment |
| 1 | Jan/Feb 1901 | A/I, II, III, IV | PMG claims only Gray cards Type I and II. |
| 2 | May 28, 1901 | (A?), B/ I, II | PMG claims only Gray cards Type I and II. |
| 3 | December 23,1901 | C/I,IIIII, IV; D/III, IV |  |
| 4 | April 17, 1902. | (D?), E/III, IV |  |
| Explanation of terms in Table 13.1 and 13.3 |  |  |  |


| Table 13.3 Card ID No. and EKU |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Base Card | Grey UPU |  | Black UPU |  |
| O/P Type | I | II | III | IV |
| Stop? | No Stop | Stop | No Stop | Stop |
| Type A | No. 1 <br> 9 Feb 1901 | No. 2 (a) <br> 7 May 1901 (b) | No. 3 <br> 26 Mar 1901 (b) | No. 4 <br> 14 Apr 1901 (b) |
| Type B | No. 5 <br> 17 July 1901 (b) |  |  |  |
| Type C | No. 6 (b,c) <br> 25 Mar 1902 (b) | No. 7 <br> 24 Mar 1902 | No. 8 (b, d, e) <br> 7 June 1902 | No. 9 (b, c, e) <br> 15 June 1902 |
| Type D |  |  | No. 10 (f) <br> 5 Mar 1902 (b) | No 11 (g) <br> 27 Oct 1902 |
| Type E |  |  | No. 12 <br> 29 July 1902 (b) | No. 13 <br> 28 May 1902 |

a. Only seen with partial rectangular stop.
b. EKU per B\&M.
c. Not seen by us.
d. Not seen used by us.
e. Card seen with only a trace of a stop. Could be Card No. 8 or 9.
f. Many with damaged second $\underline{N}$ in Union. Some have damaged bottom arc.
g. No. 11 only seen by us with a partial stop. A used card, 20 June 2003. Also dated 27 October 1902 with partial stop. Is this Card No. 10 or 11?


Fig. 13.1
$1^{\text {st }} 1 \mathrm{~d}$ Postcard overprint proof


Fig. 13.2
$1^{\text {st }}$ proof modified 20/11/01


Fig. 13.3
Final proof, initialled AK by the PMG

## Card Characteristics

## ORANGE RIVER

Setting A, small dropped $\underline{\mathrm{O}}$, weak stem $2^{\text {nd }} \underline{\mathrm{R}}$ in River., also top bar left end to left.

Setting B, normal $\underline{O}$ and $\underline{R}$, top bar left end to right.


Setting C, Bar ends even, Short $\underline{A}$ in Orange, Nick rt leg of $\underline{N}$ in Colony, Nick on crossbar of $\underline{T}$, no top serif $\underline{R}$ of Card.

## ORANGE

Setting D\&E, Worn inside bottom $\underline{O}$ in Orange.


Setting D, O.R.C. small, $1.5 \times 13 \mathrm{~mm}$


Setting E, O.R.C. large, $2.5 \times 12 \mathrm{~mm}$


## Card 1. Setting A-Ia



Card 2. Setting A-Ib


## Card 3. Setting A-IIa



Card 4. Setting A-IIb

```
        UNION POSTALE UNIVERSELLE
    ORANGE RIVER COLONY.
```



```
(&P ON POST CARRD. \NOE)
THE ADDRESS ONLY TO BE WRITTEN ON THIS SIDE.
THE ADDRESS ONLY TO BE WRITTEN ON THIS SIDE.
```

Card 5. Setting B Type Ia.

```
UNRANGOETALVER CKERRENY. POST CARD,
(
THE ADDRESS ONLY TO BE WRITTEN ON THIS SIDE.
```



Card 6. Setting C Type Ia.


Card 7. Setting C Type Ib.


Card 8 Setting B Type Ia.

This card has been reported in the literature, but we have been unable to confirm its existence.

Card 9. Type C-IIb


Card 10. Setting D-IIa

```
            UNION POSTALE UNIVERSELLE.
            ORANGE RIVER COLONY.
```



```
            POST CARD.
THE ADDRESS ONLY TO BE WRITTEN ON THIS SIDE,
```

Card 11. Setting D-IIb


Card 12. Setting E-IIa.


Card 13. Setting E-IIb

## 1d Reply-Paid Cards

The 1 d reply-paid cards are much simpler than the 1 d cards. There is only one base card, the 1 d brown on buff of 1892, Fig. 32.10.

There were only two deliveries of 9600 each. The first was sent between Jan 12 and Feb 20, 1901, and the second on May 28, 1901. It should be born in mind that 10,000 impressions is a lot of wear on a small typeset forme, so it would not be unusual to have to repair the forme.

There seem to be three settings or states, Types 1 to 3 , shown in Figs. 13.11 to 13.13. ${ }^{1}$ In addition a proof is known ${ }^{2}$, but it has no date. These are all slightly different, a fact hard to resolve with only two printings. The proof is not signed or dated, so it was probably not a proof for government approval, but rather a printer's proof pulled after had had reset the forme.

Reply cards are known with the following combinations of Types:

| Sending card <br> Setting | Reply card <br> Setting |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 |

The overprints consist of two bars canceling the words 'CAPE OF GOOD HOPE (CAP DE BONNE ESPÉRANCE') and adding the words ORANGE RIVER COLONY. These words wore also printed over the Indicia, in three lines. This last is the same overprint that had been used on the postage stamps, but with wider spacing.

## Setting 1

A previously unrecognized setting is shown in Fig. 13.11. It has perfect letters, but has a bar gap in the lower bar about 55 mm in from the left end. The left bar ends are about even. The bars are both 102.5 mm long, distinctly longer than in Setting 2, and appear to be a

[^25]bit longer than Setting 3. This only known used example shows a 1902 date, which is no help in determining order of the Settings.

A recently found reply-paid card shows this Setting 1 on the front part and Setting 2 on the reply portion. This almost certainly means that Setting 1 was the initial state of Setting 2.

## Setting 2

Setting 2 is quite certainly the Setting used for at least most of the $1^{\text {st }}$ shipment of these reply-paid cards during January/February 1901. The top bar is set slightly to the left of the bottom bar and are about 100.8 mm long while the bottom bar is about 100.5 mm . All of the copies seen have damage to the left bottom of the $\underline{A}$ in ORANGE and to the left arm of the $\underline{Y}$ in COLONY. See Fig. 13.12. It is quite possible that this damage occurred during the run and that the undamaged cards have not been identified by the bar placement or length.

The EKU is 12 August 1901 (Bloemfontein to Morley's Journal ${ }^{1}$ ) on Setting 2.

## Setting 3

In Setting 3 the top bar is set slightly to the right of the bottom bar and is about 101.5 mm long, while the bottom bar is about 101.8 mm . There are no significant flaws in the letters, but the GE of Orange is very closely spaced. Apparently the spacer between the letters is missing. The card shown in Fig. 13.13 shows this condition. Setting 3 seems to be that used for at least most of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ shipment of reply-paid cards in May 1901.

An EKU for Setting B is reported to be 25 July 1902.

## Overprint Proof

The proof is on a full sheet of paper, with no markings, which implies that it was not for government approval, but rather a printers proof after a reset. It seems most closely related to Setting 3, as it has perfect letters and also the closed up GE. The top bar is set slightly to the right of the bottom bar and is about 101 mm long, while the bottom bar is about 101.5 mm . The bottom bar shows a nick or break 9 mm from the left. These measurements were taken from a scanned copy and could be off a bit. Superimposing the proof on a Setting 3 card shows no discrepancies. On that basis, this would be a proof of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Setting.

[^26]
## Order of use

There had to be at least two resettings, one between Settings 1 and 2, and another between Settings 2 and 3. Without further information it is not possible to tell where the break between Shipment 1 and Shipment 2 occurred. Most likely scenario is that the work started with Setting 1, but events required a reset during the first Shipment. This would be Setting 2. Quite likely Setting 2 was not set with the faulty $\underline{A}$ and $\underline{Y}$, but rather that this occurred during the run. Undamaged Setting 2 cards have not been reported, but they would have looked much like Setting 1.

For the second Shipment, the saved form Setting 2 was initially used, but events forced a reset to Setting 3 for most of the run.

However, if one assumes the proof to have been the first Setting, then the order must be reversed. Finding more early dates should settle the matter.


Fig. 13.11, Setting 1, Front of 1d Reply Set Letters perfect, bars even and 102.5 mm , gap in middle of lower bar.


Fig. 13.12, Setting 2, 1d Reply Sets
Damaged $\underline{\text { A }}$ and Y, Top bar left, 101.5 mm


Fig.13.13, Setting 3, 1d Reply Sets
Letters perfect, top bar to right, 101.5 mm , close GE


Fig 13.14, Proof of the Reply PC overprint. A section of the full page. Wigmore collection Close GE, Left ends of bars level but gap near left end.

## Reply Cards, Settings $1+2$



Primary card is Setting 1
Attached reply card is Setting 2

Reply Cards, Settings $2+2$


ORANGE RIVER COLONY.
 REPLY PAID
 POST CARD THE ADDRESS ONLY TO BE WRITTEN ON THIS SIDE.

REPLY.


Primary and reply cards are both Setting 2.

Reply Cards, Settings 3+2


Primary card is Setting 3
Reply card is Setting 2

## Reply Cards, Settings $3+3$



Both Primary and reply cards are Setting 3

## Chapter 14, The Postal Notes



In 1896 the O.V.S. decided to issue 'Postal Notes' in order to facilitate the movements of small sums of money. The program was meant to follow the procedures of the Cape as nearly as possible. Example shown at top above is the DeLaRue composite essay with pasted on stamp ${ }^{1}$, followed by a complete example with counterfoil. The history of their procurement is thoroughly explored via the De La Rue archives by Richard E. Solley. ${ }^{2}$ The basic steps were:

1. Cape had issued such notes since 1884, so the O.V.S. had the Cape PMG write to De La Rue on March 31, 1896 for a quotation for such Notes for the O.V.S. Also quotations on a dandy roll for the watermark and making

[^27]up into books of 20 with attached counterfoil. There were to be 10 values, following the Cape lead.
2. They stressed economy, and De La Rue replied on May 7, 1896 proposing using a single plate with black overprints for each value. They proposed also using the die already prepared for the earlier stamps ( 6 d revenue?) to further economize. They enclosed a proposed design, all in English ${ }^{1}$. Also the design for the watermark.
3. On January 6, 1897, the O.V.S. agents in London (Dunn \& Co.) requesting a specific quotation and apparently supplying a Dutch version of the wording.
4. De La Rue replied on January 13, saying the previous quotation still held, and querying whether the spelling should be 'VRY' or 'VRIJ'. They submitted a Dutch version and also a Dutch watermark. ${ }^{2}$
5. On 25 January Dunn notified De La Rue to proceed on the basis of the earlier proposed quantities. The colors were to be as the Natal Postal Notes, not those of the Cape.
This first order was for the following quantities and colors.

| Table14.1 Postal Notes, Inital Order |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Value | Poundage, or <br> 'Commisie Loon' | Color | Quantity |
| $1 /-$ | 1d | Blue-green | 2000 |
| $1 / 6 \mathrm{~d}$ | 1d | Rose Carmine | 1000 |
| $2 / 6 \mathrm{~d}$ | 1d | Brown | 1000 |
| $5 /-$ | 1d | Chestnut | 1500 |
| $7 / 6 \mathrm{~d}$ | 2d | Light Yellow-green | 500 |
| $10 /-$ | 2d | Violet | 500 |
| $12 / 6 \mathrm{~d}$ | 3d | Crimson | 500 |
| $15 /-$ | 3d | Orange-yellow | 500 |
| $17 / 6 \mathrm{~d}$ | 3d | Gray | 500 |
| $£ 1 /-/-$ | 3d | Sage green | 500 |

The preparation costs were: Dandy roll, $£ 45$, the key plate $£ 25$ and the duty plate $£ 3$ each, or $£ 30$ for the ten required. Total a nice round $£ 100$.

Production costs had been quoted as in Table 14.2.

[^28]| Table 14.2. Production costs |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Size of order, total all values | Cost per Thousand |
| 5000 | $55 /-$ |
| 10000 | $48 / 6 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| 25000 | $38 / 6 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| 100000 | $31 / 6 \mathrm{~d}$ |

The dandy roll produced the following watermark:


The colors used are illustrated on the following pages, along with one full size illustration. The entire face was printed in one color, with a black print of the poundage and the value, which was both in letters and in figures. The back of these notes was blank.

A serial number was also applied. It did not start from 001 for each value, but rather was applied sequentially as the notes were printed. Thus each number should be unique. However, examples of a duplicated number are known. Quite likely an error by the printer in setting up the numbering machine.

# ORANJE VRIJ STAAT <br> POST NOOT 

${ }^{\frac{1}{1}} 02252$
dezelve betaald is, zal de Regecring niet verantwoordelyk gehouden worden voor eenige verdere vordering. of Indien deze Noot gesneden, doorgehaald of verminkt is kan betaling geweigerd worden. tigen den Postmeester om betaling te weigeren of te stake Genar moet hy dadelyk rapport doen aan den PostmeesterGeneraal zyne redenen daarvoor opgevende.
4. Nadat drie maanden verloopen zyn van den laatsten dag op betaling van uitreiking zal deze Noot Commissie gelykstaande betaalbanr zyn van het oorspronkelyke, met de byvoeging (indien meer dan drie maanden verloopen zyn sedert gezegde vervaltyd) van het
bedrag van het oorspronkelyke Commissic loon voor elke verdere tydperk van drie maanider die alzoo verloopen zyp \& ver \& boven iedep vercatie tydperk. Het canmissienarden

## ORANJE VRIJ STAAT POST NOOT

1. Nadat deze Noot een maal betaald is, an wie ook al
dezelve betanald is, zal de Regeering niet verantwoordelyk 2. Indien eenike uitschrapping of verandering gemaakt worde of indien deze Noot gesneden, doorgehaald of verminkt is kan betaling geweigerd worden.
2. De Regulatien waron igen den Postmeester om betaling te weigeren of te staken. man moet hy dadelyk rapport doen aan den Postmeesterzor redenen dairvor opgevende.
3. Nadat drie maanden verloopen zyn van den laatsten dag der maand van uitreiking zal deze Noot alleen betaalbar zyn op betaling van een Commissie gelykstaande met het bedrag
van het oorspronkelyke, met de byvoeging (indien meer dan van the oorspronkelyke, met de byvoeging (indien meer dan
drie maanden verloopen zyn sedert gezegde vervaltyd) van het bedrag van het oorspronkelyke Commissie loon voor elke
verdere tydperk van drie maanden die alzoo verloopen zyn \& verdere tydperk van drie maanden die alzoo verloopen zya \&
voor elk gedeelte van zulke eene tydperk van drie mannden vorer \& boven ieder vervalle tydperh. Het Commissie loon in
alle gevallen alle gevallen te worden gehecht achterop de Noot in postzegels.
${ }^{\frac{1}{1}} 02102$ Yo rooustad

## ORANJE VRIJ <br> STAAT

## POST NOOT

> 1. Nadat deze Noot een maal betaald is, ann wie ook al
dezelve betaald is, zal de Regeering niet verantwoordelyk ezelve betaald is, zal de Regeering niet verantwoordelyk 2. Indien cenige uitschrapping of verandering gemaakt worde of indien deze Noot gesneden, doorgehaald of verminkt is kan etaling geweigerd worden. tigen den Postmeester om betaling te weigeren of te staken, maar moet hy dadelyk rapport doen ann den Postmeaster-
Generaal zyne redenen daarvoor opgevende.
4. Nadat drie maanden verloopen zyn van den laatsten dag
der maand van vitreiking zal deze Noot alleen betaalbas zyn n der maand van uitreiking zal deze Noot alleen betaalbaar zyn
op betaling van een Commissie gelykstaande met het bedrag van het oorspronkelyke, met de byvoeging (indien meer dan drie maanden verloopen zyn sedert gezegde vervaltyd) van het bedrag van het oorspronkelyke Commissie loon voor elke verdere tydperk van drie maanden die alzoo verioopen zyn \&
voor elk gedeelte van zulke eene tydperk van drie mananden over \& boven ieder vervalle tydperk. Het Commissie loon in
alle gevallen te worden gehecht achterop de Noot in postzegels.
${ }^{\frac{1}{1}} 03119$


## ORANJE VRIJ <br> STAAT

 POST NOOT1. Nadat deze Noot een maal betaald is, aan wie ook a gehouden worden voor eenige verdere vordering . Indien eemige uitschrapping of verandering gemankt worde betaling geweigerd worden. doorgehaal or verminkt is kan 3. De Regulatien waaronder deze Noot is uitgereikt mach-
tigen den Postmeester om betaling te weigeren of te staken, tigen den Postmeester om betaling te weigeren of te staken,
maur moet hy dadelyk rapport doen aan den PostmesterGeneraal zyne redenen daarvoor opgevende.


EEN PENNY


Aan het POSTWISSEL KANTOOR to BETAAL aan* Q1 pundin smone

## VYF SHILLINGS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { bedrag betp of qyot worden. De persoon alzoo genoemd (den Ontvanger) moet de kwitantie onderain teekenen en moet ook } \\
& \text { de naan vephe! }
\end{aligned}
$$

de naam vg hef Postwissel-invullen indien zulks nog niet gednan is.
bovenstannde som ontranger
4. Nadat drie maanden verloopen zyn van den laataten dag der maand van uitreiking zal deze Noot alleen betaalbaar zyn van het oorspronkelyke, met de byvoeging (indien meer dan drie maanden verloopen zyn sedert gezegde vervaltyd) van het
bedrag van het oorspronkelyke Commissic loon voor elke bedrag van het oorspronkelyke Commissic loon voor elke
verdere tydperk van drie maanden die alzoo verioopen zyn \& voor elk gedeelte van zulke eene tydperk van drie masnden
over $\&$ boven leder over \& boven ieder vervalle tydperk. Het Commissie loon in
alle gevallen te worden gebecht achterop de Noot in postzegels.
$\frac{1}{1} 01045$
 tyd binnen drie achtereen volgende maanden van den laatsten dag der maand van uitreiking de som van



These notes could be purchased/encashed at those post offices that were MO offices, or any bank. They could also be encashed at any such PO in the neighboring state. There was no fee for encashment in the O.V.S., but there would be a small fee, similar to the poundage, when encashed in the other states.

In addition, they could be used to telegraphically send money to the ZAR for an additional $1 /-$ telegraph fee. This is an extremely early use of international money by wire, perhaps the first official Post Office service. For further discussion, see Volume II of this series.

## Appendix A, Citation Abbreviations

| B\&M | The Stamps of the Orange Free State, Parts I-III, Reigate, 196?-1 974 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bull | Bulletin of the Orange Free State Study Circle |
| Easton, John; | The de la Rue History of British and Foreign History Postage Stamps, 1855-1901. D. Nostrand Company (for the RSPL), Princeton, N.J., 1958. |
| Forerunners | Forerunners, Journal of the Philatelic Society for Greater Southern Africa |
| G.S.M. | Gibbons Stamp Monthly |
| Lowe | Robson Lowe, Encyclopaedia of British Empire Postage Stamps, Vol. II, The Empire in Africa. Robson Lowe, Ltd, London |
| L.P. | The London Philatelist |
| Moreley's P. J. | Walter Moreley's Philatelic Journal |
| Phil. Rec. | The Philatelic Record, London |
| Quik \& Jonkers | W.J. Quik \& G.H. Jonkers, The Postal Stationery of South Africa, Blue Printing, Daalhuisen, Schiedam NL, 1998. |
| S.A.P. | The South African Philatelist |
| Setempe | Setempe, South African Post Publication. |
| S.C. | Stamp Collecting |
| S.C.F. | The Stamp Collectors Fortnightly |

Notes

# Appendix B The PSGSA Story 

## Brief History

It all began in the early spring of 1987. Two members of a local southern California stamp club were discussing how "lonely" it was being the only two members who collected the pre-Union states of South Africa (Cape of Good Hope, Natal, Orange Free State and Transvaal). These collectors then decided that they wanted to locate others in the U.S. who had the same collecting interests. They then placed notices about the formation of a pre-Union study group in Linn's, Stamp Collector, and The American Philatelist. Shortly after the appearance of these notices, the Pre-Union South Africa Study Group came into being with 16 founding members and the subsequent publication of the first issue of a 4-page newsletter in the Fall.

Over the next 3-4 years, growth was very rapid. The newsletter evolved into the award-winning journal, Forerunners. Membership expanded to include over 100 collecting enthusiasts on every continent. With this growth in membership and collecting interests, the group's scope naturally expanded thus resulting in group's name being changed to The Philatelic Society for Greater Southern Africa (PSGSA).

Why has the Society become the success that it has? Simply put, the Society's area of collecting focus is as fascinating and challenging as one may find anywhere in the philatelic universe, containing as yet "uncharted waters", as well as some of philately's significant rarities.

## Society Scope

PSGSA focuses upon all philatelic areas related to the following past and present stamp-issuing entities and eras: Anglo-Boer War, the Bechuanalands, Botswana, British Central Africa, Cape of Good Hope, Griqualand East/West, the Homelands, the Interprovisional Period, Lesotho, Local Posts, Malawi, Namibia, Natal, New Republic, the Nyasalands, Orange Free State/Colony, the Pre-Adhesive Period, the Rhodesias, South West Africa (German \& British Periods), Stellaland, Swaziland, Transvaal, Union/Republic of South Africa, Zambia, Zimbabwe and Zululand.

## Society Purpose

To promote appreciation, understanding, increased knowledge and camaraderie through the mutual sharing of information and materials on the philately of greater southern Africa.

## Society Affiliations

PSGSA is affiliated with the American Philatelic Society and the Philatelic Federation of Southern Africa.

## World- Wide Society Membership

PSGSA has over 100 members located in the following countries: Australia, Botswana, Canada, Germany, Namibia, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Switzerland, South Africa, United Kingdom and the United States.

## Organization

The elected Society Board consists of the following positions: President, Vice President, Treasurer, Secretary, Director/Library \& Archives, Director/Intenational Affairs, Director/Publications and Director/Programs.

Ex-officio members on the Board are the Society's International Representatives (IR's). The present complement of IR's reside in Canada, Germany, the Netherlands, the UK, Switzerland, South Africa, and the U.S. IR's are responsible for responding to inquiries about the Society, setting-up regional meetings and acting as a point of contact for members visiting their areas.

## Publication

The Society's journal, Forerunners, is published three times per year, averaging 48 pages per issue. Over the years, Forerunners has won numerous competitive literature awards at the regional, national and international levels. Journal content includes feature articles, a question and answer department, plus 12 specialty columns covering a variety of topics ranging from "Aerophilately" to "Back of YOUR Book".

Ads for buying/selling/trading are free to non-dealer members.
The Society also has a program to encourage publication of pamphlets and books in its area of interest. While it does not itself have resources to subsidize these, it can provide advice as to where support can be found and in many cases can arrange low cost short run printing. For further information, contact Dr. Peter Thy at thy@geology.ucdavis.edu.

## Translation Service

Membership in the Society provides access to volunteers who are willing to translate materials, especially Afrikaans, Dutch and German into English. A listing of volunteers and languages presently covered appear in the "Society Affairs" journal feature.

## Question and Answer Panel of Experts

Among the Society membership are well-respected scholars in a number of collecting areas. The $20+$ Panel members are ready, willing and able to respond to questions covering a wide range of topics such as forgeries, postal history, rates/routes, country-specific issues, back-of-the book and so on. A list of the Panel members and individual areas of expertise is to be found in Forerunners.

## Library \& Archives Services

Society members have access to a continually growing selection of books, multivolume works, generalist and specialized monographs, occasional papers, significant articles and publications of a number of other British Africa specialty groups. In addition, the library archives contain photocopies of significant, award-winning exhibits of greater southern Africa material. Recent library acquisitions are briefly reviewed in the journal feature "From the Archives". Further information is available from the Director/Library \& Archives, Tim Bartshe, 13955 W. 30th, Golden, CO 80401 USA or email timbartshe@aol.com.

## Annual Membership Fee/Application

The present (2009) annual dues are $\$ 25$ in the US and $\$ 30$ airmail to Europe and South Africa. The rest of the world is now $\$ 45$ due to the recent exorbitant mailing rate increase. Please contact the Treasurer regarding payment method options. He is David McNamee at dmcnamee@aol.com

## Internet

The Society maintains a web site at http://psgsa.thestampweb.com/. Up-to-date addresses and officer lists will be found there as well as other information and a membership form. PSGSA can always be accessed through the American Philatelic Society at www.Stamps.org .


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Schoeman, Bull 69, p797, March 1972.
    ${ }^{2}$ B\&M Part III, pp206-7.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ MacGregor, Alan, private communication.
    ${ }^{2}$ Drysdall, Alan. Transvaal Revenue and Telegraph Stamps, James Bendon, 1995, p167.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Easton, the De La Rue History of British and Foreign Postage Stamps, pp709-12, New Jersey, 1958.
    ${ }^{2}$ Van Heerden, Bull 176, p2784, Sept 1999.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Newlands, Bull 61, p 95, 1955.
    ${ }^{2}$ B\&M, Part I, pp 236-7.
    ${ }^{3}$ Durrell Collection.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Allison, Bull 126, p2009, March 1992.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tamsen, quoted by B\&M Vol I, p222.
    ${ }^{3}$ Allison, Bull 150, pp2112-21, March 1993.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Allison/Bartshe, Bull 151, p2149, June 1993.
    ${ }^{2}$ van Zyl, Paul, SETEMPE, p 20, Oct/Nov 2000.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bendon, UPU Specimen Stamps', p31 James Bendon, Limassol, Cyprus, 1988.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bezuidenhout, Bull 131, pp1623-5, Mar/June 1988.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Stamp Collectors Fortnightly, No. 6, p191, 1901.

[^9]:    ${ }^{I}$ B\&M, Part II, p195.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Morley's P.J. 3, p90,1902; per B\&M Part II, p203
    ${ }^{2}$ Bartshe, Exhibit, 2000

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Holdeman, Bull 124, pp1509-22, June 1986.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Christies, March 1987

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Allison, Bull 101, pp1210-11, June 1980.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Allison, ibid.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bartshe, Forerunners, Vol. 3, No 2, May 1989.
    ${ }^{2}$ Stroud and Bartshe, Bull 197, pp3349-60, Dec 2004.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bartshe, Bull 166, pp2530 ff, March 1997.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Halmo, Bull 180, p2288,

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Smith, Bull 152, p2190, Sept 1993.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid.
    ${ }^{3}$ B\&M Part III, p218.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Menuz, Wayne, Private Communication, 2002.
    ${ }^{2}$ Koch, Bull 142, p1904, March 1991.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Koch, Bull 142, p1904, March 1991.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bartshe, Valencia exhibit, 2004.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ B\&M, Vol. III, pp 218-9.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cheminais, Bull 163, p2466, June 1996
    ${ }^{2}$ Cheminais, Bull 164, p2476 ff, Sept 1996
    ${ }^{3}$ Smith, Bull 167, p2615, Dec 1997
    ${ }^{4}$ Bartshe, Paper characteristics, unpublished.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ B\&M Part II, p205.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bartshe, 2001, Private Communication.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Chilton, Bull 115, p1393ff, Dec 1983.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cape Archives, File No. 6544800

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bartshe Collection, 2001.
    ${ }^{2}$ Wigmore, M. Private communication, 2002.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bartshe Collection, 2001.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ Christies, July, 1986
    ${ }^{2}$ Solly, Richard E. Postal Order News, 68, pp11-24

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Solley, loc cit, p17
    ${ }^{2}$ Solley, loc cit, p 21

